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|  | 6 |  | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MR. AUTIO: This is Nick Autio. I'm in the | 1 | the operations and financial condition, but if there |
| 2 | same boat as Ms. Love. | 2 | is a -- if there is, you know, a majority of people |
| 3 | MR. CLARK: I will confess I did not read | 3 | who want to include recommendations that are |
| 4 | the transcript. | 4 | political in nature, which I think there is a |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Okay. Then I guess | 5 | separate form for, then I would propose to submit |
| 6 | there won't be any approval of any minutes because no | 6 | sort of a dissenting or a minority letter to the |
| 7 | one has read them. | 7 | Legislature. I'm just going to be up front about |
| 8 | MR. WARD: I think what we should do, | 8 | where I'm coming from on this. |
| 9 | Debbie, is delay the available minutes for the next | 9 | But I think that as long as we're all in |
| 10 | meeting so there's an approval of those. | 10 | agreement that we stick with what the requirement |
| 11 | MS. LUERA: Okay. | 11 | from the Legislature is, and we report on the current |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Thank you. None of us | 12 | financial condition and the operations of the fund, |
| 13 | reached out to the website, obviously. | 13 | then we should be able to work together and come to a |
| 14 | Okay. Then we'll go right into the | 14 | reasonable report like we have in the last two years. |
| 15 | meeting. This meeting was called so we could discuss | 15 | MR. CLARK: Mr. Chair, this is Troy Clark. |
| 16 | the Advisory Board Report to the Legislature. In the | 16 | Just for clarification and, Ms. Love, on that, when |
| 17 | past, we have done this with a separate subcommittee | 17 | we talk about operations, that is -- I think there |
| 18 | to draft this around this time. It's due the first | 18 | are some things that are very clearly political |
| 19 | day of the Legislature. | 19 | positions. There are some things that are |
| 20 | And so we already have a meeting set up to | 20 | operational impacts that can be taken as political |
| 21 | discuss the report once it is drafted, and then we | 21 | positions. Let's just say, you know, the criteria of |
| 22 | have done editing at that meeting in the past, and | 22 | part of the reports in previous years have included |
| 23 | then I'll approve that to be submitted. | 23 | comments about causes or suggestions for |
| 24 | So really, we -- Vince, we do have a quorum | 24 | improvements. Are those things you're considering |
| 25 | actually, or no? We have five. | 25 | political? Because we've included those in the past, |
|  | 7 |  | 9 |
| 1 | MR. WARD: Yeah, we have a quorum, so I | 1 | I think, as a fiduciary responsibility of the PCF, if |
| 2 | think that we can move forward with selecting the | 2 | there are things that can be changed as we -- the big |
| 3 | subcommittee members. | 3 | thing we can change is outflows of money. |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Right. Okay. Because I | 4 | VICE CHAIR LOVE: Is what? |
| 5 | think that's where we start is selecting who is going | 5 | MR. CLARK: Outflows of money to the PCF. |
| 6 | to draft that report, and then any comments people | 6 | And that, I think, is our -- so just for asking for |
| 7 | want to make going into it. But in the past, we have | 7 | clarification, I think there's -- I'm not looking to |
| 8 | based it on what's already been submitted in our | 8 | make a political statement, but I think we do need to |
| 9 | report to the Superintendent, and what has been | 9 | add an obligation to the Legislature to inform them |
| 10 | brought up in testimony from the actuaries, et | 10 | of here are things that would alter the operations or |
| 11 | cetera. | 11 | improve the operations of the PCF fund. |
| 12 | And so I'll start by asking for volunteers | 12 | VICE CHAIR LOVE: Well, I think -- |
| 13 | for people to be on that subcommittee. | 13 | MR. CLARK: It could be taken as political, |
| 14 | MR. CLARK: This is Troy Clark. I move | 14 | but it's -- and some of them are political -- well, |
| 15 | Nick Autio volunteers. | 15 | everything can be political in nature. Some are |
| 16 | MR. AUTIO: I will happily volunteer. | 16 | political, but I think there -- and I think there are |
| 17 | MS. LOVE: I'm happy to -- this is Kathy | 17 | some that are outside of the operational component, |
| 18 | Love. I'm happy to volunteer to help with one | 18 | but then there are some things that are clearly |
| 19 | comment, and that is that I feel strongly that the | 19 | within the operations that affect the PCF budget. |
| 20 | statute needs to be followed with regard to what it | 20 | MS. LOVE: Yeah. I think your point is |
| 21 | is that's to be reported to the Legislature. And the | 21 | well taken. This is Kathy love. Your point is well |
| 22 | statute says that we're to prepare an annual report | 22 | taken that the devil can be in the detail, and I |
| 23 | to the Legislature on the operations and financial | 23 | think the last two years we have done a pretty good |
| 24 | condition of the fund. | 24 | job of dancing, you know, a fair mind. There have |
| 25 | I'm happy to do a report that reports on | 25 | been conversations inserted in the sum of the |


|  | 10 |  | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | discussion. | 1 | there's -- it's not always been here are the results |
| 2 | Well, for example, there's been testimony | 2 | of just the past. |
| 3 | of an intervenor from Southwest Gastroenterology who | 3 | We talk about the operations of what are |
| 4 | made some pretty clear pitches for changes to the | 4 | causes and what are impacts. And I will concur that |
| 5 | Medical Malpractice Act. That's the main thing that | 5 | I think we worked really good. I think I was in that |
| 6 | I think would be inappropriate to insert into this | 6 | group the first year, and I got too busy last year, |
| 7 | report to the Legislature. | 7 | and Mike Dekleva took my spot, and I think it was |
| 8 | MR. AUTIO: And I guess it comes down to | 8 | great that he allowed me to do that, and I'll do that |
| 9 | how we view the word "operation"; right? I view -- I | 9 | same thing again this year. And I do think there was |
| 10 | think I have a different view of that, which I'm sure | 10 | some discussion on it, and I think that's appropriate |
| 11 | you're not surprised. But I think when we are | 11 | to say, you know, here are the things that are |
| 12 | advising as the advisory board on the operation, it | 12 | helping it really impact. |
| 13 | encompasses a law, including outflows of money. And | 13 | CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: And I concur with all |
| 14 | maybe it is that, you know, depending on where things | 14 | that, and I think that's a good idea. I think, |
| 15 | go during the discussion if we have a majority or | 15 | though, that we are looking forward as a fiduciary |
| 16 | minority report, I don't know if we can talk to Vince | 16 | responsibility of this committee, we need to look |
| 17 | about that to see if he thinks that would be | 17 | forward at the financial stability of the act and of |
| 18 | appropriate. | 18 | the fund graph of the PCF. And so, you know, that |
| 19 | MS. LOVE: Okay. Well, so I've stated my | 19 | ay be looking at operations in the future and how |
| 20 | position. I'd say we go forward and formulate the | 20 | those might be changed or not. |
| 21 | committee, see if we get into a situation where we | 21 | MR. CLARK: We had a discussion about the |
| 22 | have dispute about the issues that need to be in | 22 | outpatient healthcare facilities and our uncertainty |
| 23 | there, and then we can adjust, if we need to. We'll | 23 | as we made our recommendation to the Superintendent. |
| 24 | have enough people on the committee that even if we | 24 | I think we need to be clear in there making our |
| 25 | split off, we'll have enough to get this | 25 | recommendation what things ought to happen there as |
|  | 11 |  | 13 |
| 1 | accomplished. | 1 | well. |
| 2 | CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: And so the committee | 2 | CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Right. And there is |
| 3 | needs to be less than a quorum so that it doesn't | 3 | testimony from the actuary to base some of that on, |
| 4 | fall in the Open Meetings Act. So we're looking at | 4 | if we bring it up, to base some of the comments that |
| 5 | four or less members for the subcommittee. So we | 5 | might go into the report. |
| 6 | have two then. And so -- | 6 | So, therefore, that subcommittee would be |
| 7 | MS. LOVE: Should we include the other two | 7 | Mr. Autio, Ms. Love, Mr. Dekleva, and Mr. Vargas; |
| 8 | lawyers? | 8 | okay? |
| 9 | MR. AUTIO: I mean, I'm fine volunteering | 9 | MR. AUTIO: Sounds good. |
| 10 | them. I mean, Mike Dekleva has been on it. | 10 | CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Great. |
| 11 | MS. LOVE: Yeah, he has. | 11 | Any other -- I am open to any other |
| 12 | MR. AUTIO: Correct. | 12 | discussion, if we want to at this point. But, |
| 13 | VICE CHAIR LOVE: And Ray has. | 13 | obviously, that subcommittee is going to discuss it. |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: And Ray has been on it. | 14 | But, you know, if you want to talk, we've got a |
| 15 | VICE CHAIR LOVE: And everybody has worked | 15 | little bit of time here because this isn't going to |
| 16 | well together. | 16 | take long. But, I mean, is there any more discussion |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Right. | 17 | we want to have on this point on it, or just go to |
| 18 | MR. CLARK: I think their job in the past | 18 | the subcommittee on that? |
| 19 | was -- Troy Clark again. And I guess I'd bring it | 19 | MR. AUTIO: I have one thing that -- |
| 20 | up, and I'd have to go find it. And I just remember | 20 | hopefully, this is a group. Maybe someone had a |
| 21 | in the first year, we worked together to wordsmith | 21 | different understanding than I did, though. When I |
| 22 | some things, but there were some comments in about | 22 | went back, and I was looking at the report, I came |
| 23 | the causes of hospitals causing things that have | 23 | across -- you know, so in the prior report, so the |
| 24 | proven to not be accurate and correct that I push | 24 | year that was looking at the PCF's health up until |
| 25 | backed on. I think that's where -- I think | 25 | 2021, it had estimated that there was a \$203.7 |

million in unpaid claims. That number for 2022 is the report we're looking at jumped to 266.8 million, which was a $\$ 63$ million increase in unpaids. Does anyone remember? I was trying to think back. Did we address that with the actuary as to why -- why we saw that massive $\$ 63$ million increase in unpaids in one year? Did we address that?

MS. LOVE: I wouldn't represent this with complete certainty, but my understanding is that it had to do with the difference in actuaries who did the analyses. As I recall, Mr. Walling testified that he had -- that there was some disparity between the two companies and their analyses. And also, my understanding is that because the hospital claims are just beginning to mature, it's been hard to figure out what the expected reserves need to be for hospitals. And so that's sort of developing and becoming clear.

MR. CLARK: I would add that I can't say that I completely can speak for Mr. Walling, but I pushed back on the hospital piece to understand. And in the hospital category in the current year, if you remember, he uses three different methods to come to this conclusion, and one of those methods is based upon the actual surcharges collected. And because we

15
1 imposed the additional PCF deficit recovery piece on 2 the hospitals, the amount of surcharges that were collected in the current year went up. And I pushed back drastically and said, so you were indirectly causing the hole to get bigger by -- because we have no better way to estimate. And his answer was, I have no better way to estimate than that.

So his expectation was that as that year one bucket becomes year two, and you could use the other methods, it might balance itself out. But that's only a part of it. There were other things that he described. I think some of it is what Ms. Love has alluded to. I think there's -- not allude, stated, and what the other component was an increase in the amounts being paid out.

MR. AUTIO: Okay. No, that's helped. I think it's something we just need to flush out because that number, when you just look at it, of course, that drives the deficit. And to the extent that it's the will of the Board to ask for additional funds, that will be for a later decision.

But, you know, it's like I could see the legislature looking at this and saying we put in $\$ 77.5$ million over the last two years, and the deficit is virtually unchanged from where it was two
years ago. So just something we should think about and try and to the extent we can accurately do it.

MS. LOVE: We need to answer that question.
MR. AUTIO: Yeah, because, I mean, it's -you know, when I started tracking this world, you know, around age B-75, I feel like the deficit was about somewhere in the $\$ 90$ million mark, and right now, it's at 98.4 after the most recent infusion.

MS. LOVE: Right. And to be clear, the -if you look at the number of claims and the severity of claims, the severity of claims has slightly gone up, but not drastically.

MR. CLARK: Yeah.
VICE CHAIR LOVE: And it certainly has gone up to measure it with cost of living, increased earnings, cost of medical care. And so I agree that when I say we have to be able to answer that question, the question I'm referring to, we have to be able to explain to the Legislature what is a reasonable estimate for the deficit, and why is it not going away yet. But I think we have the information to explain it. I don't think we can ever give a hard-and-fast number because there are so many different variables and different levels of confidence, but we can give a sense for it and why
this is happening.
MR. AUTIO: Just food for thought. We just need to flush it out because the numbers standing alone without context are -- the numbers aren't good either way, but those numbers are shocking. So we can flush that out during the report.

MS. LOVE: Yeah.
MR. CLARK: Yeah, I agree. I feel an obligation to always make this comment with realtime actuarial estimates that it is an estimate. And I agree with almost everything Ms. Love said other than one clarification that the difference in confidence levels doesn't change what your estimate is -- what the actual number is. All it does is change. It doesn't make you more right or more -- it actually changes, of all the possibilities, where you're putting your peg in the hole, and that they're -- if you move to a 75th percent confidence level, it doesn't mean you're more confident than the 50th percent. It just means 75 percent of the outcomes will be a lower number than what you picked, and 25 percent of them will be higher. It doesn't change what all the possible outcomes there are.

MR. AUTIO: That was my one comment or question, I guess.

|  | 18 |  | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Okay. Any other | 1 | MR. CLARK: Clarification. As I recall, we |
| 2 | comments from the Board? | 2 | draft the report, we submit it to Legislative Council |
| 3 | Hearing none, any new business to the | 3 | Service, but we don't actually go testify and |
| 4 | Board? | 4 | present, do we? |
| 5 | Wow. Then really, let's discuss next | 5 | CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: We haven't, no. |
| 6 | year's meeting schedule. The next meeting, when is | 6 | VICE CHAIR LOVE: No, we do not. It's an |
| 7 | that again? | 7 | informational item. |
| 8 | MS. LUERA: I knew you were going to ask me | 8 | MS. LOVE: So it looks like we met January |
| 9 | that. | 9 | 13, and then we met in April. I think we waited |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Yeah. I mean, I can | 10 | until after the Legislature was over, and that was on |
| 11 | find it on my phone. | 11 | April 13. Oh, yeah. You have it right there. |
| 12 | MS. LOVE: January 8 at 9:00 a.m. Well, | 12 | CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Yes. |
| 13 | and we should circulate the draft with a clear notice | 13 | MS. LOVE: April, July. |
| 14 | that nobody's to discuss this, that everybody ought | 14 | CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Different members of the |
| 15 | to be able to read it and think it out. | 15 | Board had questions on some of these things. They |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Right, at least a couple | 16 | were changing. Now we're kind of getting a little |
| 17 | days before or something, but then -- | 17 | bit more into a status quo, I think, but we had |
| 18 | VICE CHAIR LOVE: Uh-huh. | 18 | questions about batch claims, excess |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: -- be aware you can't | 19 | loss/reinsurance, which now has gone away. And then |
| 20 | discuss it among yourselves. So avoid a quorum, a | 20 | there was a report on PCF status because, I believe, |
| 21 | rolling quorum. | 21 | that that would be available to us at that time for |
| 22 | Vince, do you have any comments on that? | 22 | 2023? |
| 23 | MR. WARD: Yeah, I think that we've done it | 23 | MS. LUERA: Okay. |
| 24 | really well in the past. I think the importance is | 24 | CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Okay. So I think there |
| 25 | for the individual members that were on the | 25 | is reason perhaps to meet because we have been |
|  | 19 |  | 21 |
| 1 | committee, if you are talking to other members of the | 1 | working all on 2022 data. So if we want to see the |
| 2 | committee to sort of arrive at decisions ahead of | 2 | 2023 data before the actuary study, that would be the |
| 3 | time and not give (inaudible) of the rolling quorum. | 3 | opportunity to do that and go over that. |
| 4 | So I think it's important to allow the Board members | 4 | MR. CLARK: So is that the -- Mr. Chair, |
| 5 | to work and to report back. My recollection is we | 5 | this is Troy Clark again. |
| 6 | had a really productive meeting where you're able to | 6 | Is that the meeting, because you just |
| 7 | edit the report during the course of the meeting. So | 7 | happened to bring up the batch -- the reassurance for |
| 8 | I would just ask that everybody abide by that. | 8 | the batch claims? And I know while we are not |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Okay. Thank you, yeah, | 9 | purchasing the batch claims, part of our |
| 10 | for that timely reminder. | 10 | recommendation to the Superintendent was to eliminate |
| 11 | Then really, that is the drop-dead meeting. | 11 | the batch claim surcharge. I don't know what else to |
| 12 | We have to get that report ready so that it can go in | 12 | call it. And that was part of our report. However, |
| 13 | the next week to the Legislature. Then after that, | 13 | she elected not to do that. |
| 14 | this last year we met after that. Did we meet before | 14 | Is this the meeting where we would discuss |
| 15 | the actuarial study, or did we not actually not meet | 15 | that again and bring that back up? Is that brought |
| 16 | again until -- it seems like we met before that time. | 16 | back up? I guess I feel like it is a |
| 17 | MS. LUERA: There was a meeting in March. | 17 | misunderstanding on my part or on the |
| 18 | VICE CHAIR LOVE: We met a lot last year. | 18 | Superintendent's part of what that is because it sure |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Yeah, we did, a fair | 19 | seems like it's double-counting, double-dipping |
| 20 | amount. | 20 | things or -- see, I don't know what the process is |
| 21 | MR. CLARK: That was a meeting for March. | 21 | here. Should I just be reaching out to the |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Yeah. And I was trying | 22 | Superintendent and have a discussion on our own, or |
| 23 | to think what it was for, really. | 23 | is that something we discuss when it differs from |
| 24 | MS. LUERA: I could pull up the agenda, if | 24 | what we have made a recommendation on? |
| 25 | that would help. | 25 | MS. LOVE: I'm not sure what you're asking |


|  | 22 |  | 24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | about the batch claim surcharge. | 1 | doesn't understand it. I'm not an actuary. |
| 2 | MR. CLARK: So we made a decision about | 2 | CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: And perhaps an |
| 3 | not -- I think the decision was to not renew an | 3 | invitation to Superintendent Cane to, you know, |
| 4 | insurance policy. | 4 | discuss any changes like that and present it, or you |
| 5 | MS. LOVE: Right. | 5 | know, just speak to the Board about what's going on |
| 6 | MR. CLARK: But I think the calculations | 6 | and what she thinks, you know, is coming up in the |
| 7 | that we went through, when we made our | 7 | future, and with the next actuarial study, what we'll |
| 8 | recommendations on the rates -- and I brought this | 8 | need to pay attention to. |
| 9 | up -- one of the line items in both the provider and | 9 | SUPERINTENDENT KANE: I'll have to confess. |
| 10 | in the hospital was an additional add-on -- I want to | 10 | I'm not going to be able to forget the actuary. |
| 11 | say it's 3 percent or 4 percent -- additional for | 11 | CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Thank you. |
| 12 | batch claims to which my response was that should be | 12 | SUPERINTENDENT KANE: Should we -- I'm |
| 13 | removed, that the statute prohibits batch claims on | 13 | certainly available for discussion in April of any of |
| 14 | the provider side. | 14 | the things that you would like to raise ahead of |
| 15 | But on the hospital side, there really is | 15 | time. If there's something I don't have, I can go |
| 16 | no such thing as a batch claim because it's included | 16 | and get the information to have an intelligent |
| 17 | in the runway. If you have six or seven claims | 17 | exchange. |
| 18 | that's in your runway and your estimate, so there's | 18 | CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Thank you very much. I |
| 19 | no need to double-dip on that. So we had made the | 19 | mean, we're going to do a little more formal perhaps |
| 20 | recommendation on the rate that we proposed adjusting | 20 | invitation that I know you're on Superintendent Kane. |
| 21 | that out. So that's the piece I'm talking about. | 21 | And, you know, I just -- we haven't heard a whole |
| 22 | MS. LOVE: Well, so mainly we should have a | 22 | bunch from you because you're just starting out and |
| 23 | meeting in April at which we identify those issues | 23 | all that, and we really wanted to work closely with |
| 24 | that we would like to review. | 24 | you like we did with the last Superintendent. And I |
| 25 | MR. CLARK: As long as that's all right. | 25 | think it would be great to hear from you and have a |
|  | 23 |  | 25 |
| 1 | Is that the right forum for it or not? | 1 | chance for us to have a dialogue. And that would be |
| 2 | MS. LOVE: Yes. And if the | 2 | a nice, sort of quiet time of the year to do it, I |
| 3 | Superintendent -- | 3 | think. |
| 4 | MR. CLARK: I know she's online, but -- | 4 | SUPERINTENDENT KANE: It would be after |
| 5 | VICE CHAIR LOVE: Yeah. And if the | 5 | session, so I appreciate it. |
| 6 | Superintendent Kane thinks it's not appropriate for | 6 | CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Yes. |
| 7 | this Board, then she can let us know that, too. But | 7 | And so then the next deadline for the |
| 8 | I can't imagine that they wouldn't be happy to | 8 | actuary study is -- has that been established? |
| 9 | present whatever information that we weren't aware | 9 | MS. LUERA: It has not. |
| 10 | about. | 10 | CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Okay. |
| 11 | MR. AUTIO: I mean, I see us all being on | 11 | MS. LUERA: I believe it was July 30 this |
| 12 | the same page. | 12 | past year, so we would be looking at a similar time |
| 13 | MS. LOVE: Yes. So let's have an April | 13 | frame. They were just a few days past deadline this |
| 14 | meeting, and whatever issues people want to kick out | 14 | year, so we could just enforce them again. It's |
| 15 | there as a possibility to Debbie to present on, we | 15 | really critical that we have it by the deadline, or |
| 16 | can request that, and she can, you know, bring in the | 16 | we can ask them to back it up. |
| 17 | information or not. | 17 | CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Yeah. It seems like it |
| 18 | MR. CLARK: In my mind, the reason I'm | 18 | may have even supposed to have been July 15, and it |
| 19 | asking if that's the appropriate forum is because | 19 | slipped to July 30 or something. So, I mean, the |
| 20 | that would be before Integrion and OSI gives the | 20 | more time, the better. |
| 21 | instructions for the actuaries. | 21 | MS. LOVE: And did it work, Debbie, in |
| 22 | VICE CHAIR LOVE: Right. I'd rather run | 22 | terms of your office and being able to get them all |
| 23 | the report so we don't have to come behind and go, | 23 | of the data and information that they needed? Was |
| 24 | well, let's back this out again. Let's at least get | 24 | that timing okay? |
| 25 | the same understanding. Maybe I'm the one who | 25 | MS. LUERA: The timing was good. It was a |


|  | 26 |  | 28 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | partnership between OSI and Integrion getting them | 1 | It's been working. But, yes, just so people can be |
| 2 | all the data that they needed. So, yes, I think it | 2 | aware of it so we don't get behind. |
| 3 | was fit. They had everything they needed, I think, | 3 | MS. LOVE: Yes. |
| 4 | on time, very timely. There was just some additional | 4 | MS. LUERA: Okay. |
| 5 | pieces to the scope this year that maybe took a | 5 | CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: I think that that's |
| 6 | little longer. | 6 | then -- and this is just -- we don't have exact |
| 7 | VICE CHAIR LOVE: Right. | 7 | dates. This is just in general. This is what we're |
| 8 | CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: The best we can request | 8 | thinking of then. So a meeting in April, and we'll |
| 9 | is the Board to do it as soon as possible, hopefully | 9 | need to send out a poll, whatever, to decide when |
| 10 | somewhere in that January -- or July 15 to July 30 | 10 | that is after the session. And in July we'll get -- |
| 11 | range so that we have time to, you know, put together | 11 | and in March, the decision will be made actually |
| 12 | our reviews and the actuary's report, you know, | 12 | before that meeting on when the hearing can be, and |
| 13 | verbal report and our ability to have a | 13 | the deadline for the actuary study; right? |
| 14 | question/answer session so we're not constrained | 14 | MS. LUERA: So we can give the actuary a |
| 15 | then. | 15 | deadline -- |
| 16 | MS. LUERA: Okay. | 16 | CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Okay. |
| 17 | MS. LOVE: Yeah. Maybe a September time | 17 | MS. LUERA: -- before we know when the |
| 18 | period for the hearing? | 18 | hearing is going to be just to make sure there's |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Right. | 19 | enough time for the Board to review and prepare for |
| 20 | MS. LOVE: It will be a little less | 20 | the hearing. |
| 21 | crunched. | 21 | CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: All right. So, yes, we |
| 22 | MS. LUERA: Yes. And that date will need | 22 | could work with OSI, I guess, but I'm thinking July |
| 23 | to be set, I believe, in March. So that's usually up | 23 | 15 because they were a little late this last year. |
| 24 | to OSI to set that date. But, obviously, there will | 24 | MS. LUERA: Okay. I'll make sure they know |
| 25 | be some input from the Board making sure that there's | 25 | that. |
|  | 27 |  | 29 |
| 1 | availability with the Board members. | 1 | MR. WARD: Hey, Kathy, I just wanted to |
| 2 | MR. CLARK: You said March. Did you mean | 2 | address an issue that I think the rule, the advisory |
| 3 | our April meeting or it will be March unless -- | 3 | board is the hearing officer, but the Board itself |
| 4 | MS. LUERA: No. It will be March outside | 4 | could designate a lesser number of members. |
| 5 | the meeting. There's a deadline in the rules for | 5 | VICE CHAIR LOVE: Is that right? Is that |
| 6 | having the date set for the rate hearing, which I | 6 | what the statute says, that we are the hearing |
| 7 | believe is in March. | 7 | officers? |
| 8 | VICE CHAIR LOVE: I mean, I guess we're | 8 | MR. WARD: In regulation, at 13-21-5, it |
| 9 | kind of -- this is Kathy love. Sorry. | 9 | states, The Superintendent's initial order shall |
| 10 | We're kind of making assumptions here | 10 | designate the advisory board as a hearing officer, |
| 11 | because we have served as the hearing officer for the | 11 | which I completely forgot, too. But that's what it |
| 12 | last several years as a Board, but the Superintendent | 12 | says. |
| 13 | isn't required to do it that way. The Superintendent | 13 | MS. LOVE: Okay. They used to hire like |
| 14 | could choose to hire one hearing officer and have a | 14 | one person to preside over it. Sounds good. |
| 15 | hearing. And I don't even think that we're required | 15 | CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Does anyone think we |
| 16 | to have a hearing every year. I think it's every | 16 | need to set or try to discuss any more meetings next |
| 17 | other; is that right? I mean, I think it's prudent | 17 | year? Ms. Love has already made her opinion known. |
| 18 | to do so because of the state of the fund right now. | 18 | MS. LOVE: I think we're pretty efficient. |
| 19 | But we'll just proceed as if we're going to continue | 19 | CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Yes. So I concur, but I |
| 20 | to be the hearing officer, but, you know, I recognize | 20 | just want to give everyone a chance. |
| 21 | that we're kind of making an assumption here. | 21 | Then there wasn't anything else on the |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Yeah. I think that's | 22 | agenda, was there? |
| 23 | all we can do is go on our past -- | 23 | MS. LUERA: I don't think so. |
| 24 | VICE CHAIR LOVE: Yeah. | 24 | MR. WARD: Maybe Other Comments? |
| 25 | CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: -- what we have done. | 25 | CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Yeah. I mean, we're |
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