been a challenge literally since it was formed.
MR. BARENBERG: Do you know how
Wisconsin and Indiana got to the point where they had such a large surplus?

THE WITNESS: Wisconsin befitted from surcharges that were redundant for a fairly long time, as we're looking back on them, that allowed them, over time, to build up a pretty substantial, positive surplus. Wisconsin has an interesting nuance in their law, they can't distribute those excess funds back to the healthcare providers that paid the surcharges in. There's no authority of the PCF to actually distribute those excess funds, and so the only way that Wisconsin can provide relief or, you know, release those excess funds, is to provide future rate subsidies. And so they're right now in a period where most of the indicated rates for next year are being subsidized out of surplus and the doctors are paying a fraction of the actuarial indicated rates.

Indiana, the same thing. They simply have been able to charge what, in retrospect, have been redundant surcharges and build up a surplus, which not only creates funds for rate subsidies, but also a substantial amount of investment income.

MR. BARENBERG: What do you mean by "redundant surcharges"?

THE WITNESS: Oh, just rates that were higher than were needed to pay the losses, and other expenses.

So, with the benefit of hindsight, just like we've been looking here and seeing those situations where the surcharges were higher or lower, now that all the claims are settled, same thing there, except they were pretty consistently higher than the claims payout. And some of that in Indiana and Wisconsin, was certainly related to the tort reform in those two states.

MR. BARENBERG: And $I$ have one more topic for Mr. Walling, but are there any follow-up questions?

MR. MARTINEZ: I have one question -this is Mr. Martinez -- in your opinion, and I know this is almost like a self-answering question, would it be wise perhaps for the people in the offices of insurance to be able to travel perhaps to Wisconsin and analyze their Fund to see what differences might improve the Fund here in New Mexico?

THE WITNESS: I guess I'm going to couch my answer in, anytime you get into these specialized types of programs, interaction is always a positive. The development of best practices, we see this in underground storage tank funds and mine subsidence funds. They have very open lines of communication and are very collegial with one another. And so, absolutely, I think the more that the patient comp funds and the birth injury funds interact with one another and talk with one another, the more likely they are to develop as management and kind of make one another better because what they're doing is so specialized, that it's a really nice peer-to-peer communication approach. Great question.

MR. MARTINEZ: Thank you.
MS. LOVE: This is Kathy Love.
CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Go ahead, Kathy.
MS. LOVE: Sorry, I couldn't see you.
Mr. Walling, did you say you do
actuarial analyses for Wisconsin?
THE WITNESS: I did the work for
Wisconsin for, goodness, ten years.
MS. LOVE: For what -- okay.
THE WITNESS: And one of my business
partners now does that work. I basically handed it off to him.

MS. LOVE: Do you think the years you made recommendations about increases in surcharges in Wisconsin, were those recommendations followed?

THE WITNESS: Oh boy, a different kind of challenge, because the amount of investment income that were being generated and the amount of surplus that Wisconsin had, the question was oftentimes more how much of a rate subsidy was going to be drawn out of surplus or how much of the investment income was going to offset the rate.

So it was -- it's hard to answer your question, because the moving parts were so dramatically different, especially just the amount of surplus that is sitting in the Wisconsin fund. MS. LOVE: They got that surplus by collecting surcharges initially and then investing what they had collected, correct?

THE WITNESS: Correct.
MS. LOVE: Thank you.
MR. AUTIO: This is Nick Autio. You
mentioned that substantial tort reform that resulted in robust $P C F s$ in other states what
specific tort reform are you referring to?
THE WITNESS: Actually, if you look at both Indiana and Wisconsin are kind of my two primary touch points here, just because $I$ got a pretty decent familiarity with them. If you look at the tort reform in both those states, it's not any one thing, it's really, honestly, a comprehensive view on a number of different aspects of tort reform.

Indiana, for a long time, had that hard cap of a million dollars that candidly was increased only because of the surplus of the Patient Comp Fund. But, I think it's a really worthwhile process, if you're interested in those types of things, to look at the elements of tort reform in Indiana and Wisconsin because it wasn't one issue, it was really looking at a number of factors that affects medical professional liability, and implementing, in my opinion, a kind of comprehensive strategy.

MR. AUTIO: It is that comprehensive strategy, that in your opinion, caused those funds to be far more robust than --

THE WITNESS: It's certainly a contributing factor. The rates that were charged
in Indiana and Wisconsin certainly have turned out to be more than was needed to pay the claims. So part of it just simply the redundancy that we've actually seen historically in the rates. I think part of what contributed to that rate redundancy is the tort reform.

MR. AUTIO: Thank you.
MR. BARENBERG: I have a follow-up question.

Southwest Enterology Associates presented a charge showing the absolute values of malpractice rates in neighboring states, do you know how a similar comparison would be between New Mexico and Wisconsin and Indiana?

THE WITNESS: Indiana has some of the lowest medical professional liability rates in the country. Wisconsin's are in the bottom ten, if I -- I'd have to go back and look. I've actually provided -- there's an annual rate survey of leading insurers by state, so both Integrion and the Commissioner's office have the rates for leading insurers by state, but Indiana and Wisconsin professional liability rates are quite low, relative to say an Illinois or a Michigan or Ohio.

MR. BARENBERG: Any more questions on this topic?

And then there's one last topic.
CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: What's the last
topic?
MR. BARENBERG: That is the rate change history.

CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Great.
MR. BARENBERG: Yesterday Integrion requested from OSI any data on rate changes and Anna Krylova, the chief actuary for OSI provided a spreadsheet with that data. I'd like to move that into evidence as Exhibit $C$, if the Board agrees that's a sufficient foundation, otherwise, $I$ would have to go through a foundational witness with a series of questions.

CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Any objections to that from the Board?
(No response.)
MS. LOVE: Well -- this is Kathy Love. I'd like to know, I trust that Anna probably put together what information she had, I would like to know what that is and where she got it.

MR. BARENBERG: So, I don't have the information as to where she got it, all $I$ could do
at this point is lay a foundation as a record of a public office activities or as a business record.

THE WITNESS: You can provide a little background, if that's helpful.

MS. LOVE: That would be great.
MR. BARENBERG: I'm sorry, Ms. Love, I wasn't sure which -- if you were saying that's great to Mr. Walling or to me.

MS. LOVE: I'd like to hear what both of you have, just so we know what this is.

THE WITNESS: So Anna and before her Allan Seeley, have maintained an Excel spreadsheet with all of the activities at the rate actions of the PCF. So this spreadsheet is a living document a that's been maintained for a good long time. I don't know when it was created, they've certainly identified that in the document, but it's an exhibit that $I$ have seen from the Department previously and $I$ know is something they've maintained internally to memorialize the rate actions of the PCF.

MR. BARENBERG: And I have less
information than that. It would just be that we were told that this is maintained by OSI as part of its regular data keeping.

MS. LOVE: I don't have any problem with that as an exhibit.

MR. BARENBERG: So I will then move to -- I'll show the spreadsheet in a moment, but $I$ move to offer this as Exhibit C.

CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: I see no objections, Exhibit C admitted.
(Exhibit C admitted.)
MR. BARENBERG: I'm going to hand you the hard copy. It's the only hard copy $I$ have, and then $I$ will put it up on the screen.
(Sharing document on screen.)
MR. BARENBERG: So I'm showing that as Exhibit C, I did not have any specific questions for you on this so I leave it to the Board if there's any questions about this document.

MR. AUTIO: Mr. Barenberg, can you zoom in.

MR. BARENBERG: What do you want me to zoom in, if $I$ zoom in, it will eliminate portions of it, so I'll start with the upper portion where it is on the individual providers.

THE WITNESS: And I'll provide a little background here.

The actuarial firm doing the work for
the PCF at this point in time, is what today is known as Wells, Towers, Watson. You will see that in '91 and '92, there were pretty substantial rate changes taken, but after that it was, you know, a triennial review which typically resulted in eight to ten percent.

MR. AUTIO: This is Nick Autio. Do
those changes represent -- are these the changes that were recommended by the actuary, or are those the changes that were actually implemented by the Superintendent of Insurance?

THE WITNESS: What was actually
implemented, at least that's my understanding this is what -- the column labeled "Doctors Rate Change" is what was actually implemented.

MR. AUTIO: And for independent physicians, is there a total number we have on this spreadsheet, Mr. Barenberg, that you can scroll to?

MR. BARENBERG: Yeah. Let me scroll down to the lower portion.

THE WITNESS: And then, starting in '07, you'll see we went to doing actuarial studies every-other-year, and you'll see the range of indications shown there. The reason 2016 and '17
have two rate changes is the decision was made essentially to implement that 18.1 percent change as two consecutive annual changes, so those two 8.7 percent increases all came out of one regulatory decision.

MR. CLARK: So the columns under actuarial report were the recommended high and low, so somewhere between the figure on the left and the figure on the right and the amounts in what would be the third column are what were actually implemented by the OSI; is that correct?

THE WITNESS: Yeah. In the Doctors' Rate Change column, correct.

MR. BARENBERG: Mr. Clark, when you said, "third column," were you referring to average hospital rate change?

MR. CLARK: No, Column 1, 2 and 3. Go to calendar year 2007 on $7 / 1$ of '07, the way $I$ read this is the Superintendent issued a nine-and-a-half percent increase based on a recommendation from the actuarial of somewhere between 19 and 27 percent. Is that a correct way to read this report?

THE WITNESS: That is correct. And then, similarly, in '09, the indicated range that
we produced was between plus 15 and plus 50 and plus 9.5 is what was implemented.

MR. CLARK: And are there -- one last
question: Are, there actuarial report ranges that can be tied to the hospital average rate changes that are included in the seventh column?

THE WITNESS: Only for the years where we actually did rate indications. For the years when we were -- when each hospital was producing their own independent funding study, you're not going to be able to kind of create that comparison, so -- and $I$ don't know what the other actuaries did during that brief period where I wasn't involved, so $I$ can't tell you what method this is.

MR. CLARK: So I believe this is helpful. I don't want to speak on behalf of Ms. Love, but I think this is mostly what she asked, and I don't know Debbie indicated that piece in, that would give us what the ranges are for the hospital in the years that we've got was going to be the last few years compared to what the actual rate change was.

THE WITNESS: I think between myself and OSI we can do the same thing for the hospitals on
this exhibit.
MR. CLARK: I'll let Ms. Love answer whether or not that's what she wanted. I think that's what we were looking for.

MS. LOVE: Yeah. I think that's helpful. So, for every year there is a blank, there was no actuarial study; is that correct?

THE WITNESS: Correct. Again, at this point in time, they were doing actual studies every-other-year.

MR. AUTIO: I don't want to interrupt you if you have another question.

MS. LOVE: No, these are the actuarial recommendations from the report, and I guess we would need to look to the orders or the recommendations from the hearing officers as to what they recommended to the OSI if we went into a little more data about what was actually recommended by the hearing officer; is that right?

THE WITNESS: I'm not sure that's how those hearings -- I'd have to go back and look, but $I$ think at that point, the OCI made a decision based on the actuary's presentation, I don't think there was an intermediary.

MS. LOVE: Okay.

MR. AUTIO: This is Nick Autio.
Mr. Walling, just so $I$ can hopefully confirm my understanding of what's on this table, for example, if you look at 2016, was there a recommended -- at a low central estimate, was there a recommended 18.1 percent increase recommended there?

THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm not wild about characterizing that as the low. That was simply the expected. It's the same terminology we're using now, so that was the recommendation without the additional risk margin.

MR. AUTIO: Okay. So that was the recommendation with no risk margin.

THE WITNESS: Correct.
MR. AUTIO: And at a 90 percent confidence level, that would have been a 46.8 percent.

THE WITNESS: Correct.
MR. AUTIO: So we can assume that the 75 percent confidence level is at 18 and 46 percent.

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MR. AUTIO: And the actual rate that was implemented was 8.7 percent correct.

THE WITNESS: No. It was 8.7 percent
twice. They actually almost got -- they got the majority of the 18 percent increase, they just chose to implement it as two consecutive annual changes.

MR. AUTIO: But for -- just so we're clear, in 2016, though, there was -- are you saying there was a -- just over a 17 percent increase or was there an 8.7 percent increase in $2016 ?$

THE WITNESS: There was -- the decision in 2016 was to increase surcharges 8.7 percent that year and also to implement -- to do the same thing the next year. So, that was -- the reason it's organized the way it is, is in this table is the data for ' 16 and ' 17 all came out of one actuary analysis and one hearing.

MR. BARENBERG: So is it correct that when you read this table for '16 and '17, the recommendation was an 18.1 percent increase over the two-year period?

THE WITNESS: I guess I would suggest it was really that you implement an 18.1 percent increase now, and the decision was made instead of doing it all at once to phase it in over two years.

MR. AUTIO: And to that point, though, for 2016 , the recommendation was at least an 18.1 increase.

THE WITNESS: Correct.
MR. AUTIO: And when we turn to Exhibit 4, Page 2 of your report, Page 44 , when we look at 2016, based on your tended ultimate loss ratio, that year shows an 85.5 percent loss ratio, correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MR. AUTIO: So more money was paid in than paid out for physicians in 2016, correct?

THE WITNESS: I think you're off a row -- nope, you're correct.

So, yeah, but then in 2017, despite getting two consecutive 8.7 percent increases, there was a shortfall, already, as of year-end '22 of $\$ 1.4$ million and projected to be ten-and-a-half million dollars, so ... Because we're talking about 30 claims, it is very hard to make generalizations about the experience from one year.

MR. AUTIO: And in fact when we look at Appendix 5, which addresses a number of claims over six years of your report, indeed up to 2016
and 2017, there were -- well, I guess close with payments -- they were pretty similar claim frequency those two years, correct?
A. So far. Now, 2015 only had 12, which highlights the volatility of doing excess medical professional liability.

MR. AUTIO: No further questions.
MR. CLARK: One simple clarification, we as a Board, if we were to add 8.7 and 8.7 together people would think that there was was a 17.4 percent increase.

THE WITNESS: Need to multiply it.
MR. CLARK: And the result is the 18.16 percent increase on 18.1 percent recommendation. THE WITNESS: Cool. Thanks for doing the math.

MR. DEKLEVA: Mr. Walling, I just had a question for -- this is Mike Deklava -- I think I know the answer but $I$ just want to ask it, that is, if we see the blank spaces in Column 3, for a year where a recommended rate increase was made, we'll use 2012 as an example, where the recommended rate increase was between 2.8 and 27.8, is it accurate to say that because that third -- that box on the third column for 2012 is
blank, that there just wasn't a rate increase made that year; is that how you interpret it?

THE WITNESS: That's how I'm
interpreting it, and that's consistent with my recollection.

MR. DEKLEVA: Okay.
MR. BARENBERG: Ms. Luera, do you know if that means there was no increase, or we just don't have the data?

MS. LUERA: That's my understanding. No rate increase.

MS. LOVE: What was that answer? Was that no rate increase?

THE WITNESS: No change.
MR. BARENBERG: Her understanding there was no rate increase.

MR. CLARK: In 2018 -- this is Troy Clark again -- in 2018, was it zero percent? Is there a reason there's a zero percent versus a no rate increase? Does that indicate anything other than --

THE WITNESS: Somebody typing in zero.
I believe. I don't know whether that's my analysis or not. I just don't remember whether we did the 2018 or not.

MR. CLARK: And the recommended increase that year was actually a 17 percent reduction; is that correct.

THE WITNESS: Again, I don't recall whether $I$ did that analysis, and so --

MR. CLARK: According to this report.
THE WITNESS: But when you change actuaries, you run the risk of different methods and assumptions, so I'm not -- without more information $I$ 'm not in a position to say anything about that actuarial range or what was implemented.

MS. LOVE: This is Kathy Love. I have a follow-up question about the '16 and '17 years. Was there an actual analysis done in 4/20/16?

THE WITNESS: I don't know the specific month that it was done.

MS. LOVE: Okay. But it was done for 2016, for that year.

THE WITNESS: My expectation is it actually would have been valued as of 12/31/15.

MS. LOVE: Okay. And then was there also one done that was valued as of 12/31/16.

THE WITNESS: No. That's the whole point. The reason you got a single box is that
the analysis, as of 12/31/15 led to two rate changes, one in October of '16; one in October of '17. So the recommended rate change was implemented.

MS. LOVE: I just wanted to be explicit about that. It was just one actuarial analysis that resulted in the two rate changes.

THE WITNESS: Yup.
MS. LOVE: Sorry, I think I lost you guys for a second there. I just wanted to be clear that there was only one actuarial analysis done for those two rate changes at the 8.7 percent, I think you were clear on that, I just wanted to be absolutely clear.

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MS. LOVE: Thanks.
CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Any other questions on this topic?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: I have like three final questions. Does anybody else have any final questions not necessarily on this, but overall?

MS. LOVE: I do.
CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Go ahead, Kathy.
MS. LOVE: Mr. Walling, you were asked a
number of questions about an illusory coverage issue, and my question to you is, that has nothing to do with your analysis and recommendations as to the surcharge rate setting this year, does it?

THE WITNESS: No. It was a separate item of scope that was added at the beginning of the project.

MS. LOVE: And that's something that counsel for the Superintendent of Insurance asked you to include in this report?

THE WITNESS: I don't honestly recall who asked for it.

MR. BARENBERG: I will represent that I did not request it.

CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Anything else?
Anybody else online?
(No Response.)
CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: I had just three quick questions, since we are getting late.

Do you look at the increasing number of physicians that become $W 2$ employed physicians, there is certainly a trend nationwide and in this state, did that factor in anywhere in the analysis?

THE WITNESS: Sure. It affects the
independent physician claim counts, because we are looking at claim counts per million dollars of surcharge. So as we see that demographic shifted from being independent to being $W 2$ employees, all the things being equal, that should start reducing the number of independent physician claim counts. So sure, as I said yesterday, the three main data points we look at -- well, four main data points, are reported claims, closed claims, paid losses and surcharges.

And so to the extent that a shift in the demographics of the healthcare providers in New Mexico shifts people from the independent to the hospital affiliated, it's going to affect, candidly, all four of those metrics.

CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Okay. Thank you.
Second, how much does the inflationary adjustment that was put in two years ago on the caps, how much do you feel that affects the rates going forward?

THE WITNESS: It depends -- it varies by years.

CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Right.
THE WITNESS: And the last couple of years, we've been in a bigger inflationary
position than the long-term average. I expect that to settle down over time, but we actually show the CPI numbers somewhere on Page 9 of 91 , you can see what the CPI looks like. And you'll see that for ' 21 and ' 22 it's much higher than the long-term average.

CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Quick follow-up to
that. Have you seen other states, other
benchmarks being used than the CPI?
THE WITNESS: There's basically two
common approaches, one is the overall CPI; the other is just the medical component of CPI. So we use -- we monitor both of those. In I forget which -- because we actually looked at it here and I forgot whether medical CPI showed a year earlier or a year later, but we saw the same spike in medical CPI, but it was a year different than the overall CPI.

CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: And are they in the same range?

THE WITNESS: Yeah. Pretty comparable.
CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: And number three, do you see any trend in this basically over 15 percent increase to apply the physicians increase in their recommended rate increases, do
you see any -- this trend per year of the base rate, do you see that changing in the future? Do you see that -- where do you foresee that going in the future as an actuary?

THE WITNESS: As an actuary, if you're exactly right, the rate still needs to go up with inflation. So if $I$ got my crystal ball just dialed in and got the surcharges exactly right, you still need to keep up with inflation. Now, what does that mean? It means severity inflation, also means frequency inflation. So if we're seeing a change in the underlying frequency of claims, that also affects that number. So you're really trying to monitor changes in frequency trends, changes in severity trends, and also some of those other demographic trends that you were talking about, like a shift from an independent to W2 employed, all three of those are going to have an influence on kind of what $I$ would expect the indicated rate needs to be if this recommended change was implemented.

My answer next year is still going to be, not knowing anything else, you need to keep up with inflation.

CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Okay. Thank you.

Are there any other questions?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Then $I$ think we have two more items, one is, as we did last year, I suggest that we have a small group, it has to be four or less so it is not a quorum, to write the report as we did last year, the recommendations as we did last year; and then, number two, we need to set a date for a future hearing to discuss that report and vote on it. We have to announce that at least ten days in the future so that report, or that later meeting cannot be sooner than approximately $I$ think Vince told me the 5 th of October. We have not heard back. I don't believe, a deadline from the superintendent when it has to be there, but we'll assume that the Superintendent still wants it well before the end of October. And so, first off, do we have any volunteers for who gets to put this together as a Board report?

MR. VARGAS: This is Ray Vargas, I'm happy to volunteer.

MR. AUTIO: This is Nick Autio, I'm also happy to volunteer. I just a have a question, though, do we as a Board want to meet, first, to
actually talk about what recommendations we're going to include in the report, or do we want to meet as that sub group, put a report together and then meet as the Board to actually decide on what our recommendations will be? I just don't want to put the cart before the horse, and that group --

MS. LOVE: Oh I agree.
MR. VARGAS: Yes.
MR. CLARK: Mr. Chair, in fairness -this is Troy Clark again -- for previous years, we identified kind of bullet points and then these are the -- our recommendations and then sent a subcommittee off to go draft it into a report. CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Correct. We do have this time constraint because of the Open Meetings Act, we have to have ten days before each of those meetings to announce them. So we have -- don't have a hard-and-fast date from the Superintendent so far so we have some leeway. So we can -MS. LOVE: Mr. Chairperson. CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Yes, Ms. Love. MS. LOVE: Chairman Ritchie, I apologize if I talked over you. I've got a little bit of sketchy service right now. What $I$ was going to suggest is that since we don't have that
information and since we are under the time of the meeting notice now, perhaps we can do a "dual full" and come up with a date for all of us for the Board to meet again and go from there.

CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: A date for the Board to meet to discuss these -- this meeting, or a date to discuss preliminary bullet points?

MS. LOVE: To discuss what they want to see in the reports that the subcommittee will then draft.

CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Okay.
MR. CLARK: Mr. Chair, just a
recommendation, $I$ know we've got ten-day limit, too, we could we pick a date at least ten days out from today for us to meet and discuss this meeting and identify the bullet points, but not wait until that meeting to set the next one for a notice that we could pick the second meeting being four, five, eight days later to whatever that subgroup feels is enough time for them to bring a report back.

CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: And since this year we have more leeway. We got going faster, don't have the time constraints, don't have the Superintendent time constraints. I think we have time for that this year, so that second week,
then, of October, probably, is when we're looking at.

MR. MARTINEZ: Chairman Ritchie.
CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Yes.
MR. MARTINEZ: This is Alfonso Martinez, with that being said, if we wanted to re-call our witness, would we be able to do that probably not at the meeting where we will be discussing, but at a later time. But in case we wanted to bring him back if we have any clarifying points that we need.

CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Vince stepped out, so I don't have a legal person to ask the question of.

MR. MARTINEZ: We kind of discussed that last year about being able to kind of re-call our witness, because $I$ know in previous years we've had questions that have come up during our discussions.

CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: I think that's what this second day was for, to be honest as I remember from last year. Because we did feel that same way last year and that's what this second day was for, to give us more time for that.
THE WITNESS: If it's beneficial my
professional standards require me to -- the wording for that is prevent misuse of my work product. So, the to the extent that any clarifying questions that you have helps prevent that, I'm happy to be available, as long as it doesn't run afoul of legal requirements.

CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Well then let's set the dates and clarify with Vince and see if it's going to be necessary, but have a date to you to be available.

THE WITNESS: Perfect.
CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: So, as far as that second week in October, this -- Thursdays and Fridays have worked for people in the past, and so are there any days that week that people cannot do it? Anyone on the Board?

MS. LOVE: Can you repeat the week you're looking at.

CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: The second week in October.

MR. CLARK: October 9th; is that right, that week, Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Correct.
MR. DEKLEVA: Chairman Ritchie, are you asking if we can do it on the 12 th or 13 th? This
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is Mike Dekleva.
CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: That seems to be working out. I was just asking, first, if there are any days to strike that week.

MR. DEKLEVA: From any my standpoint -again, this is Mike Dekleva -- I'm good to go. I can make anything work during that week. Later in the week is better but I'll be able to make something work.

CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Thank you, sir.
UNIDENTIFIED MEMBER: Mr. Chair for me, the $9 t h$ and $10 t h$ are difficult. I can rearrange, but $I$ think it would be better for 11 th or $13 t h$.

CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: We are trending late in the week. Ms. Love?

MS. LOVE: I can do some mornings.
CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Mornings? Okay. Mornings of say the end of the week, Thursday, Friday in the mornings would work for you?

MS. LOVE: The 10th, 11, 13, any of those.

CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Thank you. Then, how about the morning of the $12 t h$ ? Thursday the $12 t h$ in the morning, again, 9:00 a.m. in the morning? Are there any problems with that?
(No response.)
MR. CLARK: I have an obligation at 11, if we're done by 11 -- $I$ can go remote, no problems at all on Friday the 13 th in the morning.

MR. DEKLEVA: I can do it on the 12 th in the morning until 11 -- Mike Dekleva again for the Court reporter -- and then on the 13th, I can make everything work except that I'm out from about 11 to 1:30.

CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: How about starting earlier, 8, 8:30 in the morning? Anyone who cannot do that?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: How about doing an 8:00 start on Thursday?

MS. LOVE: Can we do it by video conference?

CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: We can.
MR. DEKLEVA: I'll probably be on video conference, as well. I'm in favor of that.

CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: We certainly can make accommodations for that for a hybrid meeting again, $I$ believe, as long as we give notice of that.
So 8:00 a.m. on Thursday the 12th? Okay
then, that's when we'll do it, set up to get that done.

MR. CLARK: Mr. Chair on that, simply using our facilities, do we want it to be hybrid and if so, do you want to try and get our facility, or do you want to go to all remote?

MS. LOVE: I would propose we go all remote for this one, simply because we're not taking any testimony we're just having a conversation and $I$ see it's easier for everybody to hear.

CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Any problem with that that you know of?

MS. LUERA: I'll confirm with Vince.
CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: We will confirm with Vince. We can do that, as long as we can do that. I don't have a problem with that.

MR. CLARK: I'll do a smaller room in case we need it.

CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Lets set a date to do the next one. How long do we want to give for that report to be generated and then come back with a committee, one week, two weeks? I think we did it last year, Mr. Clark, Mr. Dekleva, I know you were very involved in it.

MR. CLARK: I was two years ago, I took a hiatus this last year. I don't want to take credit where it isn't due. Ms. Love and Mr. Vargas are recurring, I might recommend we do one week, just in case there are additional items needed, that we give ourselves a last-minute buffer.

MS. LOVE: I think we're getting good at it. We can do it in a week.

MR. AUTIO: Mr. Chairman, this is Nick Autio. A week probably makes sense, that would be us finalizing the report and getting it on the 20th and then to have until the 31st to actually decide on recommendations, even if we pushed it two weeks, you wouldn't have sufficient time, so a week makes sense.

CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Correct. I believe there is a deadline to the end of October, so that following Thursday, that morning, how does that look to people, the 19th?

MR. CLARK: This is Mr. Clark. That works for me.

MS. LOVE: I cannot do the 19th. I can do the 18th.

CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Okay. How about the

| 1 | 20th? |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MS. LOVE: I could do that by Zoom, |
| 3 | only, and I would not be able to be on video, I'll |
| 4 | be post procedure, but I'm happy to do it on the |
| 5 | 20th. |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Okay, Mr. Dekleva? |
| 7 | MR. DEKLEVA: On the 20th at what time? |
| 8 | CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Either one, morning |
| 9 | or afternoon. |
| 10 | MR. DEKLEVA: You know what, yeah, |
| 11 | morning is preferable, but $I$ can do afternoon, |
| 12 | too. |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: If we do it morning, |
| 14 | we could potentially get it to the Superintendent |
| 15 | that afternoon, technically, that full a little |
| 16 | over a week. Morning of the 20th? |
| 17 | MR. MARTINEZ: Morning. |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Okay. Then morning |
| 19 | of the $20 t h$, as well, for meeting. |
| 20 | UNIDENTIFIED MEMBER: At 8 or 9? |
| 21 | CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: 9:00 a.m., so we have |
| 22 | dates and times, and that one will be also all by |
| 23 | video. |
| 24 | MS. LUERA: As long as it's okay. |
| 25 | CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: As long as counsel |
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agrees to that.
Okay. And obviously notices will be sent out. We'll get that out to everyone by email.

MR. AUTIO: Mr. Chair, this is Nick
Autio. I don't know if an expedited transcript of this hearing is needed, but $I$ think it would be good for everyone to have a transcript to review it before our meeting on $I$ guess the 12th. So I assume that's plenty of time for the court reporter by the $12 t h$-- by the $5 t h$, by the 5 th or 6th of October?

THE COURT REPORTER: I suppose that's possible.

CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: So do we want to decide who's going to write up our report now, or do we want to wait until that next meeting, to give people a chance to think about it?

MS. LOVE: I think anybody who's willing to do it, if we had to seize on it, the group last year worked --

MR. DEKLEVA: Here's a suggestion --
this a Mike chiming in -- here's a suggestion, why don't we have to -- I'm trying to remember which different groups of people we have represented
here, but $I$ nominate Ray Vargas to write the initial draft, but I'm happy to be on the committee with Nick and Ray, and you know, to, you know, work on the draft that way we have a representative from each different interest within the group, but we might want to have one of the patient representatives on, too, right, so maybe we can have four people kind of lead the charge on reviewing the draft and so forth. Does that sound good?

MS. LOVE: That's great.
MR. AUTIO: This is Nick Autio. Yes, we've got the nurse representative, too, Kathy, I don't know if you want to talk, $I$ don't know if the patient representatives are on, we need to avoid having five people but $I$ also want to make sure the nurses are part of that, too, if they choose to do so. So I'm going to consider -- I guess we can discuss that at our next meeting, though.

CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: Let's decides on that at the next meeting. We can talk to people before then on who's willing to do it. It is four people because we can't be -- we can't reach quorum. So figure on four people, max, and at the next
meeting we can make a final decision on that.
Okay. Well, then we are 23 minutes past, but we did get it all done, I think. So any other business?

I thank everyone for being here doing
this in this way. I think we're getting better at this as the years go by and so I really appreciate everyone's help. Thank you Mr. Barenberg for all your help.

MR. DEKLEVA: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN RITCHIE: And thank you
everyone. We will adjourn until next meeting on the 12 th. Thank you.

MS. LOVE: Thanks everybody.
MR. DEKLEVA: Thank you. Bye-bye. (Adjourned at 11:26 a.m.)
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| 34:17 41:13 | 35:21,22,23,25 | clark 2:164:15 | 82:9 |
| 43:6,20,21 | 36:4,11,18,21 | 4:16 7:23,23 | closer 26:20 |
| 52:19 67:10 | 36:24 37:1,5 | 8:4 18:3,3,9,22 | collateral 58:6 |
| 70:4,8,8,10 | 37:17,19 38:7 | 19:4 33:11,11 |  |

[collected - correct]

|  | ```communicated 56:4 communication 63:7,15 comp 13:1,2 25:2 63:9 65:13 company \(1: 12\) 56:11,15 57:23 comparable 83:21 compare 9:18 34:13 39:6,14 compared 59:7 72:22 compares 53:21 comparing 28:4 29:22 comparison 27:19 59:16 66:13 72:12 compelling 22:24 compensation 1:1,4 4:4 46:13 59:8,17 98:1,4 competitive 13:8 completion 3:5 component 83:12 components 15:11 35:2``` | ```comprehensive 65:8,20,21 compute 9:19 10:10,23 concern 56:2 conclusion 53:20 conclusions 47:3 conference 91:17,20 confidence 16:13,18,19,24 32:16 74:17,21 confirm 5:25 74:2 92:14,15 consecutive 71:3 75:3 76:16 consider 15:5 24:11 96:18 consistent 78:4 consistently 62:11 constant 14:22 51:10 constraint 86:15 constraints 87:23,24 consultant 34:18 consultants 34:4,22``` | consumer $12: 8$ <br> contained <br> $44: 13$ <br> contemplate <br> $14: 9$ <br> contemplated <br> $32: 6$ <br> continuation <br> $4: 3$ <br> continue $5: 6$ <br> continued $3: 4$ <br> $5: 17$ <br> continues <br> $59: 18$ <br> contracted <br> $98: 12$ <br> contracts $34: 4$ <br> $34: 18,22$ <br> contributed <br> $60: 2266: 5$ <br> contributing <br> $59: 1965: 25$ <br> conversation <br> $23: 338: 25$ <br> $92: 10$ <br> conversely <br> $13: 1621: 1$ <br> cooked $38: 20$ <br> cool $77: 15$ <br> copy $59: 11,12$ <br> $69: 10,10$ <br> correct $8: 3,18$ <br> $11: 2512: 17$ <br> $14: 16,17,20$ <br> $15: 224: 3,3,5$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

[correct - defined]

| 25:24 26:3 | cover 11:11 | d | debbie 2:12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27:8,17 28:11 | coverage 9:15 | d 3:1 | 6:23 72:19 |
| 30:19 31:4,5 | 10:6 14:10 | $\text { damage } 10: 1$ | debbiel 2:13 |
| 33:7,16,21,22 | 31:12 55:25 | 11:19 14:16 | decent 65:5 |
| 36:18 42:21 | 56:6,13,21 | 15:25 16:4,9 | decide 86:4 |
| 43:23 54:2 | 57:5,21 58:11 | 32:13 37:25 | 93:14 95:16 |
| 64:20,21 71:11 | 81:1 | 38:2,4 | decides 96:21 |
| 71:13,22,24 | covered 55:25 | damages 14:25 | decision 19:1 |
| 73:7,8 74:15 | cpi $83: 3,4,9,11$ | darned 29:20 | 35:14 36:12 |
| 74:19,24 75:17 | 83:12,15,17,18 | data 15:14 21:9 | 71:1,5 73:22 |
| 76:4,9,12,14 | create 26:14 | 21:11,21 22:3 | 75:10,23 97:1 |
| 77:3 79:3 | 36:23 72:11 | 22:7 24:8,11 | decrease 13:18 |
| 86:14 89:23 | created 36:21 | 24:18 25:1 | decreases |
| 93:17 98:10 | 37:4 68:16 | 43:5 44:16 | 13:11,19 |
| correctly 43:18 | creates 36:24 | 45:5,8 50:16 | decreasing |
| cost 9:25 14:9 | 56:7 61:24 | 50:18,23,24 | 15:1 |
| 14:15,19 56:20 | credence 31:18 | 51:21 53:9,17 | deductible |
| 58:16 | credibility 40:2 | 54:24 55:11,15 | 56:10,23,24 |
| couch 63:1 | credible 24:16 | 55:19 67:10,12 | 57:3,8,13,19,20 |
| counsel 2:10 | 24:21 | 68:25 73:18 | 58:2,7 |
| 81:9 94:25 | credit 11:1,8 | 75:15 78:9 | deductibles |
| country 66:17 | 93:3 | $82: 7,8$ | 57:2 |
| counts 25:12 | crumbs 24:19 | date 9:11 40:23 | default 57:3 |
| 29:15,17 42:3 | crystal 84:7 | 85:9 86:18 | deficit 6:3,4 |
| 82:1,2,6 | cumulative | 87:3,5,7,14 | 7:21,25 8:11 |
| county 6:25 | 16:5 | 89:9 92:20 | 8:19 11:14 |
| couple 5:19 9:4 | current 6:17 | dates 89:8 | 17:11,20,22 |
| 18:12 30:4 | 10:6 11:13 | 94:22 | 19:12 20:1,17 |
| 37:10 40:11 | 14:12 20:15 | day $4: 587: 13$ | 20:24 21:3 |
| 41:7 47:25 | 35:15,19 47:7 | 88:21,23 | 22:5 23:9,12 |
| $48: 25$ $89: 2$ | 60:3,3,4 | days 43:9 85:11 | $32: 5,25 \text { 47:7 }$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 82: 24 \\ & \text { course } 49: 12 \end{aligned}$ | currently $32: 3$ <br> custodian $1: 1$ | 86:16 87:14,19 | 60:22,23 <br> deficits $7 \cdot 18$ |
| cours court 1:12 91:7 | $98: 1$ | 89:15 90:4 deadline 85.15 | defined 36:14 |
| 95:10,13 |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { deadline } 85: 15 \\ 93: 18 \end{array}$ | defned 36.14 |

[definition - dollar]

| definition | detail 47:19 | differentiate | disqualifying |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35:24 36:12 | detailed 16:20 | 54:25 | 58:9 |
| deklava 77:18 | 24:24 | difficult 45:20 | dissimilar |
| dekleva 2:7 | details 47:17 | 90:12 | 60:20 |
| 4:17,18 43:12 | determination | dig 48:12 | distinct 14:4 |
| 43:12,15 44:6 | 21:7 | direct 33:12 | 55:13 |
| 44:22 45:21 | determine 43:6 | director 2:12 | distribute |
| 46:5,9,16,22 | determining | directors 34:3 | 61:10,13 |
| 47:10,24 48:8 | 1:3 98:3 | discount 11:7 | divergence |
| 48:19,21,24 | develop 63:12 | 31:22,23 | 52:15 |
| 49:5,12,23,24 | development | discounted | divergent |
| 50:1,5 51:5,19 | 63:4 | 39:20 | 52:23 |
| 51:24 52:4,7 | diagnostic | discounting | diverted 34:5 |
| 53:4,22 54:5 | 13:13 | 10:25 11:1,2 | docket 1:4 98:4 |
| 54:17,21 55:1 | dialed 84:8 | discrepancies | docs 41:2 |
| 77:17 78:6 | difference | 6:12 | doctors 61:19 |
| 89:24 90:1,5,6 | 16:22 17:13 | discrepancy | 70:14 71:12 |
| 91:5,6,19 | 32:12 39:23 | 6:19 7:3,10 | document |
| 92:24 94:6,7 | 57:7 | discretion | 68:14,17 69:12 |
| 94:10 95:22 | differences | 32:23 | 69:16 |
| 97:10,15 | 9:15,16 29:22 | discriminatory | documented |
| demographic | 31:12 62:24 | 26:18 | 6:10 |
| 82:3 84:16 | different 6:18 | discuss 85:9 | documents |
| demographics | 10:10,11 17:2 | 87:6,7,8,15 | 59:6,10 |
| 82:12 | 17:6,10,13 | 96:19 | doing 13:2 |
| department | 18:13,20,21 | discussed 6:3 | 45:13,19,24 |
| 39:1 68:18 | 22:23 29:13 | 9:22 16:16,18 | 48:21 63:13 |
| depends 82:21 | 37:11 38:23 | 88:15 | 69:25 70:23 |
| deposition 3:5 | 39:25 41:5 | discussing 24:6 | 73:9 75:24 |
| derived 30:18 | 54:15 56:13,19 | 42:16 88:8 | 77:5,15 91:14 |
| describe 9:10 | 56:23 64:6,16 | discussion 49:2 | 97:5 |
| described | 65:8 79:8 | discussions | dollar 13:6 |
| 47:14 | 83:17 95:25 | 88:19 | 19:19 56:20,25 |
| despite 28:20 | 96:5 | disposition | 57:5 |
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[dollars - expenses]

| dollars 7:4 | either 16:12 | essentially 11:1 | excepted 98:13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13:24 15:19 | 47:12 48:15 | 15:21 17:22 | excess 7:25 |
| 17:24 27:24 | 54:8,10 94:8 | 43:21 44:4 | 61:11,13,15 |
| 31:19 41:17 | elements 65:15 | 71:2 | 77:5 |
| 42:6 44:25 | elenarita76 | estimate 9:16 | excessive 26:16 |
| 45:9 57:12,15 | 2:23 | 26:21 28:18 | exclude 6:2 |
| 57:16 60:6,25 | eliminate 69:20 | 36:11 47:7,8 | excluding |
| 65:11 76:19 | ellen 2:22 | 51:2 74:5 | 11:14 |
| 82:2 | email 95:4 | event 58:3 | exhibit 3:8 6:15 |
| double 48:14 | employed 48:9 | events 37:4 | 8:23,24 9:5 |
| downstream | 50:15,19,25 | eventually | 14:7 20:11 |
| 56:8 | 52:9,15,19,24 | 30:18 | 27:6,10 31:2,6 |
| draft 86:13 | 53:11,20,25 | everybody | 32:10 33:20 |
| 87:10 96:2,4,9 | 54:9 55:14,18 | 92:10 97:14 | 39:6,8 43:18 |
| dramatically | 81:21 84:18 | everyone's 97:8 | 67:13 68:18 |
| 64:16 | 98:12 | evidence 67:13 | 69:2,5,7,8,14 |
| drawn 64:11 | employee 38:19 | exactly 45:16 | 73:1 76:5 |
| driven 34:21 | 48:5 54:11 | 84:6,8 | exhibits 3:7 6:9 |
| driving 28:14 | employees 82:4 | examination | exists 40:10 |
| drop 57:5 | employer 50:9 | 1:7 3:4 5:17 | expect 35:11 |
| dual 87:2 | 50:11 51:9 | examined 5:14 | 83:184:19 |
| due 35:13 93:3 | ended 50:17 | example 13:12 | expectation |
| duly 5:14 | enterology | 25:19 28:9 | 10:20 40:24 |
| e | 66:10 | 44:12,25 45:24 | 41:10 79:20 |
| e 2:1,1 3:1 | ents 20:23 | 46:11 53:10 | expected 16:15 |
| earlier 53:24 | environment | 74:477:22 | 16:16 20:12,17 |
| 83:15 91:11 | 40:12 | examples 18:17 | 31:23 34:6 |
| $\text { early } 42: 10,13$ | equal 57:20 | 32:21 | 35:10 74:10 |
| $43: 9$ | 82:5 | exceed 37:18 | expedited 95:6 |
| easier 92:10 | equitable 26:15 | exceeds 36:17 | expense 10:20 |
| effect 15:5 16:5 | equity 60:16 | excel 68:1 | 33:18,25 34:13 |
| 16:6 37:1 | equivalent | excellent 60:10 | 35:18 38:17,21 |
| eight 6:18 | 25:12 26:25 | except 62:11 | expenses 10:22 |
| $27: 2470: 5$ | especially | 91:8 | 11:11,20 31:22 |
| 87:19 | 29:24 64:16 |  | 34:17 58:21 |
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[expenses - friday]

| 62:6 | fair 21:6 26:14 | finish 4:8 18:8 | forget 52:20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| experience | 31:18 35:8 | finished 7:18 | 83:13 |
| 10:14 36:7 | 47:4 | firm 69:25 | forgot 83:15 |
| 41:11 44:1,4 | fairly 61:6 | first 7:24 13:6 | form 45:3 |
| 44:18,20,23 | fairness 86:9 | 15:12 19:8 | formed 61:1 |
| 45:3 46:14 | familiarity | 24:4 42:10 | forming 47:1 |
| 53:21 54:6 | 65:5 | 48:8 56:20,25 | forth 96:9 |
| 76:21 | family 25:7,15 | 57:5,11,15 | 98:10 |
| experienced | far 42:10 65:23 | 59:16,22 85:18 | forum 98:14 |
| 45:13 | 77:4 86:19 | 85:25 90:3 | forward 32:9 |
| expires 98:20 | 89:12 | five $22: 19$ | 82:20 |
| explain 50:10 | fashion 4:7 | 34:14 45:6 | found 48:18 |
| 51:14 | fast 86:18 | 87:18 96:16 | foundation |
| explicit 80:5 | faster 87:22 | flip 40:11 46:22 | 67:14 68:1 |
| expose 36:22 | favor 91:20 | florida 46:12 | foundational |
| exposure 6:5 | feel 82:19 88:22 | focus 31:7 40:6 | 67:15 |
| 22:23 23:5 | feels 87:19 | 40:20 | four 10:10,18 |
| 25:8,11 37:3 | fees 46:15 | focused 45:7 | 34:13 36:17 |
| expressing | felt 56:8 | follow 5:20 | 39:12 82:8,15 |
| 18:14 | figure 19:22 | 7:15 36:10 | 85:6 87:18 |
| extent 7:8 42:2 | 20:3 58:13 | 37:15 53:24 | 96:8,23,25 |
| 82:11 89:3 | 71:8,9 96:25 | 55:4 62:16 | fraction 61:20 |
| eye 42:3 | filings 13:3 | 66:8 79:14 | freeway 1:9 |
| f | final 30:20 | 83:7 | frequencies |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { facilities } \quad 48: 4 \\ 92: 4 \end{gathered}$ | $80: 21,2197: 1$ 98:14 | $\begin{gathered} \text { followed } 52: 16 \\ 52: 2164: 5 \end{gathered}$ | $29: 5$ frequency |
| facility $47: 17$ | finalized 33:1 | following 38:16 | 24:17 28:19 |
| 52:9 92:6 | finalizing 93:12 | 52:21,24 54:1 | 41:19,24 42:8 |
| fact 25:9 28:20 | finally 15:25 | 93:19 | 42:9,13 43:7 |
| 76:23 | financial 8:9 | follows 5:15 | 45:8 77:3 |
| factor 32:14 | 57:18 58:3 | foregoing | 84:11,12,14 |
| 44:21 65:25 | financing 56:14 | 98:10 | frictional 58:16 |
| 81:23 | find 56:17 | foremost 59:22 | 58:21 |
| factors 59:20 | fine $49: 12$ | foresee 26:12 | friday 90:19 |
| 65:18 |  | 84:3 | 91:4 |
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[fridays - half]

| fridays 89:14 | 46:11 54:7 | gmail.com 2:21 | 87:22 89:9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| front 50:3 52:4 | 59:8,17,20 | 2:23 | 95:16 96:18 |
| 58:2 | 60:4 61:11,13 | go 5:21 7:20 | $\operatorname{good} 4: 6,14,15$ |
| fronted 57:22 | 61:15,24 63:6 | 9:7 14:6 15:9 | 19:22 41:8 |
| fulfill 45:23 | 63:6,9,10 | 15:15 19:7,15 | 43:5 68:15 |
| full $11: 1,8$ | 65:22 | 20:5,7 22:24 | 90:6 93:8 95:8 |
| 22:13 25:12 | further 7:14 | 23:1 26:5 | 96:10 |
| 87:3 94:15 | 19:4 77:7 | 27:14 28:11 | goodness 63:23 |
| fully 57:25 | 98:12 | 30:25 32:9 | gory 47:19 |
| functions 9:11 | future 58:19 | 33:12 35:9,10 | gps 25:16 |
| fund $1: 1,44: 4$ | 61:16 84:2,4 | 35:15 46:8 | grab 59:11 |
| 6:18 7:3,8,11 | 85:9,11 | 48:13 63:18 | great 63:15 |
| 8:5,6,7,9 10:1 | g | 66:18 67:15 | 67:8 68:5,8 |
| 11:21 13:1 | gastroentero | 71:17 73:21 | 96:11 |
| 16:23 18:12 | 59:6 | 80:24 84:6 | greater 41:24 |
| 22:12 25:18 | general 25:7,20 | 86:13 87:4 | 53:12 |
| 26:12 29:8,20 | $45: 15$ | 90:6 91:3 92:6 | green 10:15 |
| 34:17 35:12 | generalizations | 92:7 97:7 | 45:6 |
| 38:17 40:6 | $76: 21$ | goes 14:20 | group 85:5 |
| 46:12 47:7 | generall | 23:12 25:6 | 86:3,6 95:20 |
| 53:12 57:19 | $13: 19$ | 28:8 | 96:6 |
| 59:21,25 60:3 | generate 60:9 | going 5:119:17 | groups 95:25 |
| 60:8 62:23,24 | generated 64:8 | 10:16 12:4 | guaranteed |
| 64:17 65:13 | $92: 22$ | 14:6 17:1 18:1 | 56:20 |
| 98:1,4 | getting | 21:21 22:1 | guess 18:18 |
| fundamental | 81:19 93:8,12 | 27:14,18 28:1 | 29:10 39:4 |
| 32:12 | 97:6 | 35:3,5,18 | 46:5 53:15 |
| fundamentally | give $13: 1,517: 2$ | 36:10 38:24 | 63:173:14 |
| 38:22 | give 13.1,5 17.2 | 40:17,25 49:7 | 75:21 77:1 |
| funding 6:15 | $31: 17 \text { 53:5,5 }$ | 49:19 51:6 | 95:9 96:19 |
| 11:3,6 32:19 | $88: 2$ | 52:1 63:1 | guys 80:10 |
| 56:12 72:10 |  | 64:11,12 69 | h |
| funds 11:19 | 93:6 95:18 | 72:11,22 82:14 | half 27:24 |
| 13:2 17:7,14 | giving 11:1,8 | 82:20 84:3,18 | $28: 2134: 19$ |
| 18:14,15 25:2 | giving 11.1,8 | 84:22 86:2,24 | $71: 2076: 18$ |
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[hand - implementing]

| hand 69:9 | higher 37:2 | 50:14,19 51:4 | 86:11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ded 64:1 | 41:24 52:10 | 1:18 52:8,16 | identify 12:20 |
| ndout 60:25 | 62:5,9,12 83:5 | 52:19 53:1,12 | 87:16 |
| appening 44:8 | highlights 77:5 | 3:17,25 54:11 | identifying |
| happens 36:13 | hindsight 62:7 | 55:13,17,18,21 | 15:10 |
| 37:19 | historical 9:14 | 56:9 57:14 | ignore 10:12 |
| happy $85: 22,24$ | 10:5 21:11 | 71:16 72:5,9 | ignored 45:4 |
| 89:5 94:4 96:2 | 28:131:12 | 72:21 82:14 | ii $2: 5$ |
| hard 44:18 | historically | hospital's 44:1 | illinois 66:24 |
| :18,18 59:12 | 22:21 27:12 | hospitals 6:19 | illusory 55:25 |
| 60:964:14 | 35:12 39:24 | 17:9 21:23 | 56:7,13,21 |
| 65:10 69:10,10 | 44:3 45:4 66:4 | 22:6,11,17 | 58:11 81:1 |
| 76:20 86:18 | history 52:18 | 32:13 33:5 | illustrate 41:6 |
| healthcare | 67:7 | 39:15,21 40:5 | lustrations |
| 25:22 52:9 | hit | 41:17,20,25 | 18:12 |
| 58:10 61:11 | homeo | 42:6,24 44:12 | illustrative |
| 82:12 | 57:10 | 6:8 47:4 48:3 | 8:17 32:21 |
| hear 43:13 68:9 | honest 88:21 | 49:4 50:8 51:1 | immediately |
| 92:11 | honestly 65:7 | 52:21 53:18 | 23:15 |
| heard 85:14 | 81:11 | 54:2 56: | impact 13:20 |
| hearing 1:74:4 | hopeful | 72:25 | 13:22 26:12 |
| 8:16 49:17 | 4:2 | house | 42:13 43:6 |
| 73:16,19 75:16 | horrible | hum 55:3 | impacted 25:22 |
| 85:9 95:7 | horse 86:6 | hundred | implement |
| hearings 73:21 | hospital 1:8 6:1 | 39:13 57:15 | 15:22 71:2 |
| heck 20:3 | 6:13,18,22 7:2 | hybrid 91:22 | 75:3,12,22 |
| help 26:9 39:22 | 22:14 23:2 | 92:4 | implemented |
| 53:6 97:8,9 | 31:1,3 32:1 | hypotheti | 20:20 53:1 |
| helpful 21:9 | 33:10 36:3 | 18:10,13 53:6 | 70:10,13,15 |
| 30:10 68:4 | 37:23 40:2,4 | i | 71:11 72:2 |
| 72:17 73:6 | 40:10,16,25 | ea 9:13 | 4:24 79:12 |
| helps 89:4 | 23,23 42:9 | entical | 80:4 84:21 |
| hiatus 93:2 | 43:16,22,25 | ied | implementing |
| high 10:8 21:1 | 46:1,24 47:18 | 22.10 32.20 | 26:24,25 27:1 |
| 71:7 | 48:2,10,17 | 34:1 68:17 | 65:19 |

[important - insurers]

| important $23: 5$ | $78: 8,11,13,16$ | $60: 1461: 3,21$ | inflational |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 56:8 | $78: 2079: 1$ | $62: 1365: 3,10$ | $31: 13$ |
| impossible | $83: 24,25$ | $65: 1666: 1,14$ | inflationary |
| 53:19 | increased | $66: 15,22$ | $9: 1510: 2$ |
| improve 62:24 | $21: 12,1330: 15$ | indicate $53: 10$ | $11: 1982: 17,25$ |
| inadequate | $33: 534: 25$ | $78: 20$ | influence $84: 19$ |
| 26:17 | 51:11 65:12 | indicated $7: 18$ | inform $47: 12$ |
| incidences | increases 13:20 | $7: 218: 14,24$ | information |
| 36:15 | $21: 1444: 9$ | $9: 1910: 23$ | $25: 2131: 17$ |
| include $44: 10$ | $64: 471: 4$ | $11: 16,2116: 10$ | $43: 1945: 2$ |
| $81: 1086: 2$ | $76: 1683: 25$ | $20: 1231: 1$ | $53: 967: 22,25$ |
| included $5: 23$ | increasing | $32: 4,1743: 20$ | $68: 2379: 10$ |
| $8: 1,472: 6$ | $14: 2516: 1$ | $47: 860: 13$ | $87: 1$ |
| includes $34: 9$ | $30: 1781: 20$ | $61: 18,2071: 25$ | informational |
| income $8: 10$ | incurred $45: 9$ | $72: 1984: 20$ | $24: 2347: 5$ |
| $11: 1213: 23$ | indemnity | indicates $30: 16$ | infusion $21: 8$ |
| $15: 1831: 25$ | $46: 12$ | indication $9: 13$ | inherently |
| $60: 1262: 1$ | independent | $11: 915: 14$ | $22: 1536: 19$ |
| $64: 8,12$ | $6: 11: 22$ | $31: 936: 7$ | initial $96: 2$ |
| incorrect $43: 23$ | $20: 1121: 23$ | indications $6: 7$ | initially $64: 19$ |
| $51: 14$ | $22: 6,17,22$ | $31: 332: 15$ | injury $46: 13$ |
| incorrectly $9: 3$ | $29: 732: 11$ | $34: 958: 9$ | $63: 10$ |
| increase $11: 24$ | $37: 2341: 15,22$ | $70: 2572: 8$ | insolvency $58: 4$ |
| $13: 1514: 1,9$ | $52: 11,17,22,25$ | indicative | insurance $1: 1$ |
| $14: 15,1915: 23$ | $52: 2553: 13$ | $45: 10$ | $39: 156: 11,12$ |
| $16: 2,3,8,9,23$ | $54: 9,1355: 6$ | indicators | $56: 15,16,19,20$ |
| $17: 1924: 2$ | $55: 1270: 16$ | $40: 2242: 11$ | $56: 2357: 1,8$ |
| $25: 626: 24$ | $72: 1082: 1,4,6$ | individual | $57: 24,2562: 22$ |
| $28: 1529: 5,9$ | $82: 1384: 17$ | $12: 2224: 17$ | $70: 1181: 9$ |
| $32: 2538: 9,11$ | independents | $26: 1935: 1$ | $98: 1$ |
| $51: 1571: 20$ | $53: 21$ | $37: 443: 25$ | insured $57: 2,3$ |
| $74: 675: 2,8,8$ | index $12: 8$ | $44: 1169: 22$ | $58: 4$ |
| $75: 11,19,23$ | indiana $12: 25$ | inflation $10: 7$ | insurers $66: 20$ |
| $76: 377: 11,14$ | $24: 945: 25$ | $11: 1884: 7,9$ | $66: 22$ |
| $77: 21,2378: 1$ | $46: 3,359: 23$ | $84: 10,11,24$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Page 15
[integrion - legal]

| integrion 2:10 | invested 60:4,6 | kathy 2:15 19:7 | 92:13,24 94:10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2:12 38:20 | 60:10 | 19:8 63:17,18 | 95:6 96:3,4,14 |
| 39:1 66:20 | investing 64:19 | 67:20 79:13 | 96:14 |
| 67:9 | investment | 80:24 96:13 | knowing 84:23 |
| integriongrou... | 60:8,8,11 | keep 5:10 16:2 | known 57:22 |
| 2:13 | 61:25 64:7,12 | 40:9 84:9,23 | 70:2 |
| intent 25:20 | involve 37:6 | keeping 42:3 | krylova 67:11 |
| interact 63:10 | involved 72:14 | 68:25 | 1 |
| interaction $63: 3$ | $92: 25$ issue $29: 4$ | kind 11:5 23:2 23:5 25:2 | labeled 20:10 |
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| 36:11 37:16 | 49:22 50:23 | sent 86:12 95:3 | shifted 82:3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 45:9 47:4 68:7 | 53:13 54:11 | separate 14:4 | shifting 23:4 |
| 75:7 | 59:9 60:25 | 37:5,5 48:5 | shifts 82:13 |
| says 11:11 | 62:23 63:5,19 | 55:13 81:5 | shortfall 76:17 |
| 35:18 | 69:6 70:2,23 | september 1:8 | shorthand |
| scenario 16:20 | 70:24 77:20 | 98:8 | 98:10 |
| 37:2 | 82:3 83:4,5,23 | series 67:16 | show 6:8,17 |
| scenarios 17:6 | 84:1,2,3 87:9 | service 86:24 | 8:24 16:19 |
| 37:11 | 89:8 92:10 | services 38:19 | 30:4 41:5 56:2 |
| schedules | seeing 17:12 | session 4:1 | 69:4 83:3 |
| 32:19 | 28:21 29:21 | set 8:16 43:24 | showed 83:15 |
| scope 47:12 | 38:24 47:21 | 44:11 46:1 | showing 8:7 |
| 49:2 81:6 | 62:8 84:12 | 47:3 85:9 | 12:18 66:11 |
| screen 56:2 | seeley 68:12 | 87:17 89:7 | 69:13 |
| 59:14 69:11,12 | seems 90:2 | 92:1,20 98:10 | shown 27:23 |
| scroll 70:19,20 | seen 27:15 53:9 | setting 81:4 | 70:25 |
| se 47:2 | 54:8 66:4 | settings 24:21 | shows 12:7 |
| second 4:5 | 68:18 83:8 | settle 83:2 | 19:10 20:11,12 |
| 16:21 80:10 | segregated | settled 30:3 | 31:3 38:16 |
| 82:17 87:18,25 | 60:7 | 45:18 62:10 | 76:8 |
| 88:21,23 89:13 | seize 95:20 | settlement 22:3 | shy 6:19 |
| 89:19 | select 10:18 | 45:19 | sic 5:5 |
| see 9:21 10:3,7 | 31:20 | settlements | side $48: 11,17$ |
| 10:25 12:10 | selected 28:4 | 58:15 | signature 98:18 |
| 13:12 15:13 | 29:14 | seventh 72:6 | significant 30:5 |
| 16:11 19:21,23 | selecting 28:23 | severity 29:23 | 60:11 |
| 20:13,15,16 | selection 34:20 | 29:24 30:1,5,7 | similar 32:10 |
| 21:13 24:24 | selections | 30:14 37:2 | 39:8 45:23 |
| 25:19 29:14,23 | 38:21 | 38:11,12 84:10 | 54:7 66:13 |
| 30:3,7 31:14 | self 56:23 62:20 | 84:15 | 77:2 |
| 32:10 34:15,19 | senior 2:10 | sharing 69:12 | similarly 71:25 |
| 34:25 37:10 | sense 13:1,5 | shift 34:23 | simple 5:10 |
| 38:18,23 39:11 | 25:1 41:18 | 38:19 82:11 | 77:8 |
| 39:15 42:12 | 42:15 44:17 | 84:17 | simply 17:12 |
| 45:21 47:19 | 93:11,16 |  | 22:25 40:17 |


| 41:20 43:5 $45: 19$ 51:1 53:24 54:1,24 56:13 57:25 61:21 66:3 $74: 9$ 92:3,8 single 35:22,25 36:4,13,24 37:1,18 79:25 sir 90:10 sit 54:14 sitting $64: 17$ situations 54:15 $62: 9$ six $22: 1245: 7$ $76: 25$ sketchy $86: 24$ slightly $41: 16$ small $85: 5$ smaller $92: 18$ somebody $78: 22$ sooner $85: 12$ sorry $5: 912: 3$ $12: 12,12,12$ $19: 16$ $46: 25$ $46: 19$ $68: 19$ 68: $80: 9$ sort $53: 6$ sorts $56: 7$ sound $59: 21$ $96: 9$ soundness $26: 23$ | ```southwest 59:6 66:10 spaces 77:20 speak 26:15 72:17 specialized 63:3,14 specialties 26:7 specialty \(12: 20\) 12:23 17:18 19:10,13,24 23:25 24:18,24 25:4,15 specialty's 26:20 specific 12:23 25:18 45:12 59:9 65:1 69:14 79:16 specifically 21:10 38:13 speculation 43:5 spike 83:16 spitzer 4:23 split 18:6 21:7 21:22 22:5 spreadsheet 3:8 67:12 68:12,14 69:4 70:18 stability 30:13 stable 29:17,20 40:8``` | ```stacked 36:16 staff 6:1 standards 89:1 standpoint 24:20 41:18 90:5 stands 11:5 start 9:8 31:2,8 33:13 69:21 82:5 91:15 started 22:7 52:24 53:2 starting 22:7 25:1 70:22 91:10 starts 47:16 state 60:7 66:20,22 81:23 stated 28:8 statements 8:9 states 25:8 45:22,25 54:6 59:15 62:14 64:25 65:6 66:12 83:8 statewide 25:17 statistical 16:13,17 statistically 24:16,20 statistics 12:9 statute 14:22 16:1 stayed 51:9``` | ```stenographic 98:9 stepped 88:12 stepping 36:19 steven 2:22 4:25 5:1 storage 63:5 strategy 65:20 65:22 street 1:13 strike 41:4 90:4 structure 38:22 struggles 60:20 studies 70:23 73:9 study 7:12 9:24 72:10 73:7 stuff 20:23 sub 86:3 subcommittee 86:13 87:9 subgroup 87:19 subjects 55:23 subsets 10:11 10:12 subsidence 63:6 subsidies 61:16 61:24 subsidize 60:12 subsidized 61:18 subsidy \(64: 10\)``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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[substantial - telling]

| substantial | supports 47:23 | 51:3,4,9,18 | t |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 56:17 58:6 | suppose 95:13 | 52:16 55:21 | table 12:6,18 |
| 59:24 61:8,25 | surcharge 1:4 | 58:14 60:13 | 23:8,8 39:6,8 |
| 64:24 70:3 | 7:4 8:21,25 9:1 | 61:6,12,23 | $4: 13$ 46:17,19 |
| subtotals 31:16 | 11:21 13:23 | 62:3,9 64:4,19 | 46:19 47:1,23 |
| 41:5 | 15:18 17:19,20 | 75:11 82:10 | 59:10,17 74:3 |
| sue 41:23 | 17:22,23 19:11 | 84:8 | 75:14,18 |
| sufficient 67:14 | 19:24 20:23,24 | sure 4:8 9:12 | tack 26:1 |
| 93:15 | 21:2,3 22:16 | 33:25 42:8 | take 9:14,23 |
| suggest 12:21 | 22:20 23:9,10 | 50:13 68:7 | 15:4 28:9 |
| 27:22 75:21 | 23:12,17,18,23 | 73:20 81:25 | 47:22 49:10 |
| 85:5 86:25 | 23:24 26:2 | 82:796:17 | 93:2 |
| suggestion | 27:2 28:19,24 | surgeon 11:22 | taken 49:15 |
| 95:22,23 | 32:2,4 40:3 | 50:20 | 70:4 98:9 |
| suggests 40:3 | 43:16 44:11 | surgeons 25:20 | takes 40:18 |
| suing 41:22 | 47:9 48:1,4,5 | 39:11 55:12 | 45:17 |
| suite 1:13 | 51:16,25 52:16 | surgery 13:13 | talk 63:10 86:1 |
| suits 38:9 | 52:19 54:4,12 | 13:16 26:4 | 96:14,22 |
| summarized | 81:4 82:3 98:4 | surgical 21:1 | talked 10:24 |
| 16:21 | surcharges | 25:16 | 42:16 86:23 |
| summary 6:16 | 5:23 6:1,2,3,4 | surplus 60:3,15 | talking 29 |
| 8:7 32:19 | 8:1,11,14,16 | 60:15 61:4,9 | 37:24,25 40:12 |
| superintendent | 9:23 10:21,23 | 61:19,23 64:9 | 76:19 84:17 |
| 1:1 17:16 26:1 | 11:13,14 15:15 | 64:11,17,18 | talks 59:15 |
| 26:10 33:4 | 15:20,23 17:3 | 65:12 | tank 63:5 |
| 70:11 71:19 | 17:11,21 19:18 | surprising 30:8 | target 22:15 |
| 81:9 85:15,17 | 20:13,15,18 | survey 66:19 | tasked 12:19 |
| 86:18 87:24 | 21:12,13 22:14 | sustained 35:21 | tclark 2:17 |
| 94:14 98:1 | 23:2 26:20,23 | 35:24 36:2 | tdc 25:1 |
| superintende... | 31:1,10,19 | 37:11,13 | technically |
| 19:1 | 32:5,24 33:1,5 | sworn 5:14 | 94:15 |
| support 8:21 | 33:10 42:17 | system 7:2,5,5 | tell 42:14 44:19 |
| 58:6 | 43:24 47:17 | 7:6,8,10 47:18 | 53:2 72:14 |
| supporting | 48:10 50:10,12 | systems 6:22 | telling 42:7 |
| 11:3 | 50:14,15,19,20 | 40:5 | telling 42.7 |
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[ten - trend]

| ten 9:22 36:6 | 60:18 65:15 | 81:18 82:7 | topic 5:217:18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 41:8,11 63:23 | 82:5 | 83:22 84:18 | 7:20 8:14 18:2 |
| 66:17 70:6 | think 6:10 8:8 | throws 60:11 | 30:23 55:23 |
| 76:18 85:11 | 9:16 20:2 | thumb 45:15 | 58:23 62:16 |
| 86:16 87:13,14 | 22:24,25 23:4 | thursday 90:18 | 67:2,3,5 80:18 |
| tended 76:7 | 26:21 29:3 | 90:23 91:15,25 | topics 7:15 |
| tends 36:25 | 30:7,20 31:7 | 93:19 | 59:3 |
| term 28:25 | 40:1,6,8,24,25 | thursdays | tort 59:24 |
| 30:6 83:1,6 | 41:9,18 43:8 | 89:13 | 62:14 64:24 |
| terminology | 44:19 45:6 | tied 72:5 | 65:1,6,9,15 |
| 26:16 74:10 | 49:150:18 | time 6:217:12 | 66:6 |
| terms 21:22 | 52:18 54:23 | 11:8 14:24 | total 14:20 16:9 |
| 26:22 27:12 | 55:1 58:25 | 25:12 40:4 | 23:11 27:2 |
| 29:3 30:13 | 60:16 63:8 | 44:2,5 46:4 | 70:17 |
| 47:2 | 64:3 65:13 | 61:7,8 65:10 | totality 41:12 |
| testified 5:14 | 66:4 72:18,24 | 68:15 70:1 | touch 65:4 |
| testimony | 73:3,5,22,23 | 73:9 83:2 | towards 23:12 |
| 46:18,25 92:9 | 76:13 77:10,18 | 86:15 87:1,20 | towers 70:2 |
| thank 5:4 21:5 | 80:9,13 85:3 | 87:23,24,25 | traditional |
| 23:20 30:21 | 85:13 87:24 | 88:9,24 93:15 | 56:14 |
| 43:10 47:24 | 88:20 90:13 | 94:7 95:10 | transaction |
| 55:2 63:16 | 92:23 93:8 | timely 4:7 | 58:1 |
| 64:22 66:7 | 95:7,18,19 | times 16:8 | transcript 95:6 |
| 82:16 84:25 | 97:3,6 | 36:17 94:22 | 95:8 |
| 90:10,22 97:5 | thinking 53:7 | today 4:7 22:22 | transcription |
| 97:8,10,11,13 | third 25:7 | 70:1 87:15 | 98:11 |
| 97:15 | 71:10,15 77:25 | together 29:13 | travel 62:22 |
| thanks 77:15 | 77:25 | 47:1 67:22 | treated 35:22 |
| 80:16 97:14 | thought 25:3 | 77:9 85:19 | 35:25 36:4,21 |
| thing 26:4 | thousand 57:12 | 86:3 | tremendous |
| 60:14 61:21 | 57:15 | told 53:24 | 40:15 |
| 62:11 65:7 | thread 38:15 | 68:24 85:13 | trend 29:25 |
| 72:25 75:13 | three 15:11 | tons 42:18 | 30:6,7 81:22 |
| things 5:10 | 29:13 35:16,17 | took 93:1 | 83:23 84:1 |
| 12:19 23:6 | 36:15,17 80:20 |  |  |
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[trended - video]

| trended 10:4 | 49:11 51:20 | underline 9:11 | use 6:6 16:14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31:13,14 39:15 | 62:14 65:3 | underlying | 18:9,24 50:18 |
| trending 90:14 | 71:1,3,3 75:3 | 23:17 34:17 | 56:11 77:22 |
| trends 10:2 | 75:20,24 76:16 | 45:8 56:5 | 83:13 |
| 11:19 84:15,15 | 77:3 80:1,7,12 | 84:12 | used 45:2,22 |
| 84:16 | 82:18 83:10 | understand | 50:20 52:22 |
| tried 41:4 | 85:4,8 92:23 | 7:24 26:10 | 60:12 83:9 |
| triennial 70:5 | 93:1,15 | 28:6 35:12 | useful 21:16 |
| triggering 38:9 | type 20:22 36:6 | 39:22 44:7 | 22:24 |
| 38:11 | types 45:21 | 46:18 53:7 | using 29:1 36:7 |
| troy 2:16 7:23 | 63:3 65:15 | understanding | 44:16 56:14,19 |
| 18:3 33:11 | typically 20:22 | 8:17 30:10 | 74:11 92:4 |
| 78:17 86:10 | 57:22 58:5 | 43:18,22 51:12 | usually 16:17 |
| true 54:8 98:10 | 70:5 | 51:13 53:23 | v |
| trust 67:21 | typing 78:22 | 55:5 70:13 | valid 56:15 |
| try 25:21 43:6 | u | 74:3 78:10,15 | valuable 41:20 |
| 92:5 | ultimate 6:20 | understood | value 11:9 |
| trying 5:10 | $9: 24 \text { 10:4,16 }$ | 27:4 46:25 | 20:12,17 30:16 |
| 46:22 51:12 | 27:7,19 28:2 | undiscounted | valued 79:21 |
| 55:16 58:13,17 | 29:2,19 31:14 | 1:20 | 79:23 |
| 84:14 95:24 | 40:10,14,16 | unfairly 26:17 | values 66:11 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { turn } 8: 2330: 14 \\ & 49: 776: 5 \end{aligned}$ | 44:13 47:2 | unfunded | vargas 2:5 4:19 |
| 49:7 76:5 | 50:7 76:7 | 0:24 | 4:20 85:21,21 |
| twice $75: 1$ | ultimately | unidentified 90:11 94:20 | 86:8 93:4 96:1 |
| $\text { two } 7: 1510: 13$ | 1:18 32:22 |  | vargaslawfir... |
| $10: 14,17 \text { 11:15 }$ | ultimates |  | 2:6 |
| $17: 6,10,13$ | um 48:12 55:3 |  | varies 20:13 |
| 18:10,13,1 | uncertainty |  | 29:25 82:21 |
| 23:15 | 0:9,15 41:3 |  | vary $10: 7$ |
| 28:21 32:21 | under 39:12,16 |  | verdicts 58 |
| 36:16 39:23 | 1:16 71:6 | 18:20 | veritext 1:12 |
| 40:5 42:6,25 |  | uppe | versus 78:19 |
| 43:1,3 45:5 | underground | upward 30:7 | vice $2: 144: 1$ |
| 47:12 48:16 |  |  | video 91:16,19 |
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[view - witness]

| view 65:8 | 60:16 69:19 | 93:5,9,11,16 | 22:9 23:14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| viewed 38:18 | 72:17 73:11 | 94:16 | 24:7 25:11,14 |
| vince $85: 13$ | 77:19 85:25 | weeks 92:23 | 26:3,13 27:9 |
| 88:12 89:8 | 86:2,5 87:8 | 93:15 | 27:18,22 28:12 |
| 92:14,16 | 92:4,5,6,21 | wells 70:2 | 28:17 29:10 |
| violate 35:19 | 93:2 95:15,17 | went 8:20 | 30:19 33:14,16 |
| virginia 46:10 | 96:6,14,16 | 41:16 70:23 | 33:19,22,25 |
| volatility 77:5 | wanted 5:20 | 73:17 | 35:5,20 36:18 |
| volume 40:3 | 16:19 27:5 | whatsoever | 37:9,20,24 |
| volunteer | 73:3 80:5,10 | 98:14 | 38:4,7,12,15 |
| 85:22,24 | 80:14 88:6,9 | wild 74:8 | 40:1 42:2,22 |
| volunteers | wants 85:17 | wildly 29:25 | 43:1,8,14 44:2 |
| 85:19 | watson 70:2 | william 2:3 4:2 | 44:15 46:2,7 |
| vote 85:10 | way 4:6 11:17 | willing 95:19 | 46:10,21 47:5 |
| W | 12:24 26:3,21 | 96:23 | 47:11 48:7,12 |
| w2 48:15 50:15 | 34:10 36:19 | winded 11:17 | 48:20,23 49:1 |
| 52:23 53:11,20 | 38:8 45:9 47:4 | wisconsin | 49:9 50:4,13 |
| 55:7,14 81:21 | 51:1,8 53:8,16 | 12:25 24:9 | 51:16,20 52:3 |
| 82:4 84:18 | 56:12 60:17 | 46:6,7 59:23 | 52:6,13 53:15 |
| wait 87:16 | 61:14 71:18,22 | 59:25 60:5,7 | 54:3,14,19,23 |
| 95:17 | 75:14 88:23 | 60:13 61:3,5,9 | 55:3,8 56:4,24 |
| walk 12:6 31:6 | 96:4 97:6 | 61:14 62:13,23 | 57:9 58:12 |
| 33:23 | we've 6:10 | 63:21,23 64:5 | 59:11,22 61:5 |
| walling 1:7 3:3 | 13:10 14:7 | 64:9,17 65:3 | 62:4 63:1,22 |
| 5:13,19 8:15 | 28:17 32:20 | 65:16 66:1,14 | 63:25 64:6,21 |
| $18: 143: 13$ | 34:10 40:13 | 66:23 | 65:2,24 66:15 |
| 50:2 55:2 | 41:3 55:14 | wisconsin's | 67:15 68:3,11 |
| 58:24 62:16 | 62:8 66:3 | 66:17 | 69:23 70:12,22 |
| 63:20 68:8 | 72:21 82:25 | wise 62:21 | 71:12,24 72:7 |
| 74:2 77:17 | 87:13 88:17 | wish 53:2 | 72:24 73:8,20 |
| 80:25 | 96:13 | witness 3:2 | 74:8,15,19,22 |
| want 8:23 9:8 | week 87:25 | 5:14 6:23 8:3,6 | 74:25 75:10,21 |
| 15:7 24:23 | 89:13,15,17,19 | 18:7,11 19:3 | 76:4,10,13 |
| 26:21 36:8 | 89:22 90:4,7,8 | 19:14,16 20:2 | 77:12,15 78:3 |
| 41:6 42:19 | 90:15,18 92:23 | 20:7,10 21:25 | 78:14,22 79:4 |
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[witness - zoom]

| $\begin{aligned} & 79: 7,16,20,24 \\ & 80: 8,1581: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbf{x}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29: 19,2230: 4 \\ & 31: 1934: 8,15 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | x $3: 1$ |  |
| 81:11,25 82:21 |  | 36:6 39:12,17 |
| 82:24 83:10,21 | y | 40:3,4,7,7 41:7 |
| 84:5 88:7,17 | yeah 7:1 22:2 | 41:8 42:6,21 |
| 88:25 89:11 | 27:3,9 41:6 | 42:25 43:2,4 |
| wondering | 46:7 49:13 | 45:5,7 50:24 |
| 52:12 | 54:19 58:25 | 51:20,22 52:20 |
| word 18:24 | 70:20 71:12 | 63:23 64:3 |
| wording 89:2 | 73:5 74:8 | 72:7,8,21,22 |
| words 37:7 | 76:15 83:21 | 75:25 76:25 |
| 44:7 | 94:10 | 77:3 79:14 |
| work 39:22 | year 1:58:17 | 82:18,22,25 |
| 46:2 47:22 | 9:18 10:19 | 86:10 88:17 |
| 63:22 64:1 | 11:5,24 23:1 | 93:1 97:7 |
| 69:25 89:2 | 27:23 28:10 | yesterday 5:12 |
| 90:7,9,19 91:8 | 34:15 39:16 | 5:20 6:3,11,14 |
| 96:4 | 41:1,8,11 47:9 | 7:13,17 8:20 |
| worked 89:14 | 47:18 50:22 | 9:22 10:24 |
| 95:21 | 55:24 60:12 | 16:16,18 17:4 |
| working 54:6 | 61:18 70:24 | 21:10 22:10 |
| 60:9 90:3 | 71:18 73:6,10 | 46:19 47:15 |
| works 93:22 | 75:12,13,20 | 59:5 67:9 82:7 |
| worst 40:3 | 76:8,17,22 | york 46:1 |
| worth 23:1 | 77:21 78:2 | yup 32:9 33:14 |
| worthwhile | 79:2,19 81:4 | 46:21 49:9 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 65: 14 \\ & \text { wrap } 39: 18 \end{aligned}$ | 83:15,16,17 | 80:8 |
|  | 84:1,22 85:4,7 | z |
| write 85:6 | 85:8 87:21,25 | zero 42:9 49:8 |
| 95:16 96:1 | 88:16,22,23 | $78: 18,19,22$ |
| writers 13:4,10 | 92:24 93 | $\text { zoom } 69: 17,20$ |
| 24:10 | 95:21 98:5 | 69:20 94:2 |
| wrong $12: 14$ | years 9:22 <br> 10:11,13,14,17 |  |
| 24:5 25:25 | 21:15 22:11,12 |  |
|  | 22:13,20 27:13 |  |
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New Mexico Rules of Civil Procedure for the District Courts Article 5, Rule 1-030
(e) Review by Witness; Changes; Signing.

If requested by the deponent or a party before completion of the deposition, the deponent shall have thirty (30) days after being notified by the officer that the transcript or recording is available in which to review the transcript or recording and, if there are changes in form or substance, to sign a statement reciting such changes and the reasons given by the deponent for making them. The officer shall indicate in the certificate prescribed by Subparagraph (1) of Paragraph $F$ of this rule whether any review was requested and, if so, shall append any changes made by the deponent during the period allowed.
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